



To the Reverend Fathers, &c.



Ight Reverend Fathers, your Lordships having benea long tyme Divinitie Readers in the schole of Christ, and some of vs also, a long tyme scholers brought vp in the same schole at your feete, give vs leave, we pray you, by way of Question, and not of Defi-

nition, to propound these Demaundes following. And as we brieflie and plainly have opposed, so let your Lordships be wel pleased simply and honestly to make vs answere. For we having (as the men of Berga did) searched the scriptures, for our fatisfaction in the doubtes propounded, and out of them, not being able to refolve our felves, our defire is, to be refolved, by men of greater skill, and not to rest our selves vpon our owne Now then we thus propound, and we thus demaund:

IRST, whether every supreme Christian Magistrate, for The like de. every commaund to be given by vertue of his Christian Magi- mande wee fracis, touching the worship of God, ought not to have the word cerning the of faith, for the ground and warrant of his commaund; that so his com. command of maund being of faith, may not be of sinne?

the Church.

Secondly, whether any supreme Christian Magistrate, by Authoritie of holy Writ, be inabled to devise, ordayne and appropriate a Mi. The like de-nisteriall garment for the Ministerie of the Gospell, without putting on make of the of which garment, he may commaund the Ministers of the Gospell, nei- abilitie of ther publikely to pray, to preach the Worde, nor administer the Sacra- the Chruch, mentes?

Thirdly, if it be lawfull for a soveraigne Christian Magistrate, by authoCertaine Demands With their grounds,

ment, for the Ministers of the Gospell, then we demaunde, whether by Authoritic of holy Writt, he may ordayne and appropriate such a Ministerial garment, as in matter and forme, differeth not from that proper and necessarie Priestlie-garment, which the Prince, & chief Priest of Idolatric hath appropriated to be worns by his Idolatrous Priestes in their Idoll service?

The reason moving vs to make the first demaund, respecteth some others, rather then our selves. For we doubt not, but that every supreme Christian Magistrate, will agnize, that every his mandatorie action concerning the outward worthip of God, of what nature, or qualitie soever it be (if it be not sinne) must be of faith. And that no such action of his, can be of faith, vnles the same have the word of faith for

the ground and warrant thereof.

Concerning the second Demaund, For our partes we never yet read of any commaundement, of any word of faith, or of any godly example in holy Writt, whereby the supreme Christian Magistrate, is either directly, or by consequence inabled to ordayne and appropriate a Mi-

nisteriall garment, for the Ministers of the Gospell.

For howfoever by imitation of the Priestly garmentes, ordayned for the Leviticall Priesthood, vnder the Law, your Lordships may thinke that a ministeriall garment may lawfully be commaunded for the Ministers of the Gospel: neverthelesse, there being an expresse commaundement given vnto Moses, vnder the law, for the one, and no generall or particular rule delivered vnto a Christian Magistrate, in the Gospell, for the other, we desire to be satisfied by your Lordships, whether such an imitation of Leviticall garments, be lawfull, yea or no? If your Lordships answere, that the institution of a Ministeriall garment, vnder the Gospel, is not by imitation from the Leviticall law, then we demand, from whence the originall and ofspring of that Ministeriall garment came?

If your Lordships answere, that it sprange originally from Mans invention, then you directly charge the Christian Magistrate, to have no rule of faith for the guidance of his conscience in the institution of a ministeriall garment. For your Lordships very well know, and according to this your owne knowledge, have a longe tyme taught vs, that no devise or invention of man, can be a divine rule, for a Christian

Magistrates conscience to be guyded by.

But

But aswell for the better clearing of the proper and right vse of the Priestlie garmentes under the law, as also of the cleare opening of this poynt, namely, that no reason can bee yeelded, from the vse of the prieftly garmentes vnder the law, for the vie of ministerial garmentes vnder the Gospell, we demaind:

Whether your Lordships did ever read in any parte of holy Writ, that the Priestes Were commaunded to eate the Passeover in any other gar-

mentes, then in their ordinary garments?

d

y

1-

e.

10

C-23

11-

en e-

n-

no fa

or-

nat

an

But

Whether your Lord hips did ever read in any parte of holy Writ that any holy Ministeriall garment was commaunded to bee worne, by him,

that cut of the foreskinne of the fleshe upon the eight day?

Whether your Lordships have read in any place of holy Writt, that the Prophetes had any proper or special attire, enjoyned unto them, as a proper and necestarie habite, wherein they should exercise, and without which they might not exercise their propheticall office? For wee finde it Written; When the Prophet hasted, and tooke the ashes away from his face, that the King of Israll knew him, that he was of the Prophetes. Whereby it seemeth unto us, that, that Prophet, rather by his face, then by his proper Propheticall garment, was discerned from other men to bee a Prophet, especially in the execution of that his propheticall function: 1.5am.9.15 And this agayne is made more manifest, by those wordes which Saule vsed, when meeting with Samuell in the middest of the gate of one of the Cities of Zuph, be questioned with him after this maner: Tell me, I pray thee, where the Seers house is? For had the Prophets bene known in those dayes by their proper propheticall garmentes, then needed not Saul in the middest of the gate, and at so solemne a feast, to have enquired of Samuell, for the Seers house.

But it is Written, that Saule knew that it was Samuell, when an ould Obiection, man came vp, lapped in a mantell, And Isayah was commanded to loofe 1.Sa.28.14 his fackcloth from his loynes, and to put off his shoe from his foote. And againe it is Written: And in that day shall the Prophetes bee ashamed e- Zech. 13.4. very one of his vision, when he hath prophecied, neither shall they weare a rough garment to deceyve: And lastly, that Iohn the Baptist had his Math. 3.4 garment of Camels bayre, and that a girdle of a skinne was about his toynes: By all which places it is apparant, that in ould tyme the Prophetes vsed a special attyre, or babite, and were accustomed to put vpon

them a distinct kinde of garment from other men.

We confesse plainly and simply, that your Lordships herein speake. Answers,

Certaine Demands with their grounds, the trueth, neither doe we denie, that it is both lawfull and convenient for the Minister of the Gospell, dayly & ordinarily, to weare such a speciall kinde of civile apparell, as whereby he may be knowne from being a Marchant, a Lawier, a Gentleman, or an Husbandman: But what for that? For to the poynt in question, what resolution is that? For how followeth is, that the prophet Isayah, the other old prophets, and John Baptist, by their every dayes rough and hayrie apparell, wherfoever in the streetes, in the fields, in the Markets, in the schooles, in the gates, in the Court, or in the countrey, they were feene, how (we (ay) doeth it follwe (they being knowne by their speciall garments to be of the number of the prophetes) that therefore whenfoever in the Temple, in the Synagogue, or in any other place, wherin they propheited, that they put upon that their ordinary and viual garment, some other garment, necessarily and properly to be worne in their propheticall office? and without which necessary and propheticall garment, it was not lawfull for them to prophelie?

If your Lord hips could make good your objection by the holy scriptures, you thould then have some colour from the example of the old prophets, to affirme that extraordinary prophets, (if there were any in our times) might weare some rough and havrie garments; But to alleadge these examples, as sufficient authorities for ordinary Pastours & Teachers, that they may or ought to weare proper ministeriall garments, whenfoever they pray, preache the word or administer the Sacraments, is to alleadge quidlibet pro quelibet? insteed of a kernell, to give a nutshale, and to imagine as Sant imagined, that he law Samuel when he faw Satan, who to blind Sauls eyes, had put you him the

forme of an old man lapped in a Mantell.

And yet nevertheles we grant that from the place of Samuell, we may learne thus much: viz. If any person in our age were so sottish, as to feek vnto a Witche, to have the body of an Archbishop raysed vp; that Satan in this case, by lapping him selfe in an Archbithops Pall, Rochet and square Cap, might transforme him selfe to the shape and likenes

of Thomas Beckett, though he be long fince dead.

As for that which is alleadged out of the prophetie of Isaiab, it proveth directly that his garment of Sackeloth, was not any proper propheticall garment, but only fuch an ordinary garment, as whereby in his common and daily attire, his condition of being a Propher, was distinguished from the apparell of other men, who were no Propheres.

be

(4

pr

m

We

for

the

gai

ctr

Pro

hui

ctri

mei

pro

hav

wea

Cap

Wii

para the

of th

did a

garn

For if by the commandment of looking the fackcloth from his loynes, the Lord had meant a proper propheticall Mantell or garment, which was only viuall in the execution of his propheticall function, then might the same well-inough have bene put of, and yet Haiab not have bene naked, and his buttocks vncovered.

Besides, if by Isaiahs garment, a proper propheticall garment in the exercise of Prophesie, and not a speciall ordinary garment, common with other Prophets in their daily vie, had bene noted; then by Isaiahs thoes likewife, why thould not a proper & propheticall kind of thoes

be vnderstood?

And if so, why then by this place might not Ministers be appointed, (when soever they pray, preache or minister the Sacramentes) to have proper ministerials shoes or pantofles upon their feete, aswell as proper

ministerial Surplices or Copes vpon their backes?

The place out of Zacharie, and that argument from John Baptists apparell, be of like interpretation with that of Efai. And that out of Tachary, what ells proveth it, but that the false Prophets ordinarily did weare some such maner of speciall rough garments, as were after the forme of ordinary garments, worne by the true Prophets? and that those false Prophets, for deceiving of the people, by colour of their garments, should have those garments, (as it were) plucked over their eares, and no more be suffered by their garmentes, to make their do-Ctrine feeme more holy: feeing they were never fent from God, to bee Prophets for the good of his people, but might still have consinewed husbandmen or heards men, as not having any true propheticall do-Arine, but only making a thew their of by their outward rough garments, as if their garments had bene sufficient to have approved their prophelies? In like maner as at this day a number of idole ministers, have naught ells to approve them to be ministers, but only that they weare a large whit Surplice with two wide fleeves, and a little blacke Cappe with foure narrowe corners.

Nay, againe we demand, whether your Lord hips have read in holy Writt, that King David deviding Offices to the sonnes of Levie, & separating some to be Singers, some to be Porters, and some to be over the Treasures of the House of God, according to the commandement of the Lord, by the hands of the Prophets Samuell, Gad and Nathan, 1 Chro 9. did appoint any other fongsterlike, porterlike or other ministerlike garments, to be worne by these Officers in the service of the House of 2 Chro. 19.

1 Chro. 23.

1 Chro. 250

1 Chro. 16

God

God, then such only as were appointed by the Law.

And if your Lordthips can produce no place out of holy Writt for the proofe of these questions; then from the example of celebrating the Passover, ministring Circumcision, Prophetying by the Prophets. and from services done by the Singers, Porters and Treasurers, without other ministeriall garments then were appointed to be under the Lawe:

We demand what good proofe your Lordships can make out of holy Writt, that ministers of the Gospell may be commanded, not to pray, not to preach, and not to administer the Sacraments without ministeriall garments, not appointed by God, but by man in the time of the Golpell?

And yet touching certaine holy garments, vied by the Priestes and

Levites under the Law, your Lord hips have not read (as we suppose) that either Moyses or Aaron, did appoint any other holy garments for the Priests or Levits in their priestly or Leviticall Offices, then such only as the Lord him selfe commanded to be made and worne; which holy & Priestly garments also, you reade that they might not be worne by the Priests or Levites in the vtter Court, but only in the holy place, Eze. 44.15. when they came to minister in the Priestes and Levites Office before

> And so from the proportion of the Ministery of the Priesthood vnder the Law, vnto the ministerie of fauth vnder the Gospell, we question thus: If God the only author of the Priesthood under the Law, were the only author of the Priests holy and ministeriall garments, vnder the Law, why thoud not the same God, being the holy author of the ministerie of the Gospell, be likewise the only author of ministeriall garments under the Gospell? For otherwise how should the Ministers perfectly understand, that the wearing of ministerials garments in the time of the Gospell, bee aswell pleasing to the Lorde, as were the Priests holy garments in the time of the Law?

> Besides, for so much as the Priests when they came out of the inner Court even to the vtter Court to the people, and approched to those things which were for the people, were then commaunded to put of their holy and Lynen garments, and to put on their other garments, namely, their Wollen garments which they had put of when they entered into the inner Court.

From whence we againe demande, what reason your Lordships can yeelde

Exo. 18.12 29.30,35.

41.43. Ezc. 42,13.

17.18.19. the Lord.

Ezeic. 42. Eze.44.15. 17.18.19.

ch EIG VI th

V pla VIC We to:

the

Pro

ga

ord ple, Eph fhip

tym

whi

to be tend it see lervi deth mon

Be their prope court

Fo and th the ch that w

yeald out of holy Writt, that the Ministers of the Gospell when they_ approche to pray, to preach the Word & to administer the Sacramers, which are things for the people under the Gospell, should put upon them ministerial Lynen garments? And not rather from the identitie of reason and equitie of that order, which was given to the Priestes under the Law, challendge a free and liberall vie of their ministerie in their ordinarie garmentes? We meane such comly and cleanly wollen garmentes, as by the law, vie, or cultome of the countrie, wherein they live, may lawfully be worne.

Where it is written that David had on him a lynen garmet, as all the Chro. 15. Levites that bare the Atke, & the fingers: If your Lordships from this place shall vige, that lynen garments were ordinarily worne by the Levites, among the people, when they approched to the services, which were for the people, you shall in this case but wrest the holy scriptures, to an other sense then rightly can be gathered from the same, because the fame your fense directly repugneth the aforesaid testimonic of the Prophet Ezekiell: neither indeed docth this place any more prove, the ordinarie wearing of lynen garmentes, by the Levites among the people, in the vtter courte, then it doeth prove the ordinarie wearing of an Ephod by King David, when seever he came into the Temple to worthip.

The reason then of the Levites wearing of lynen garmentes at this tyme, being in the presence of the Arke of the covenant of the Lord which was now to be remooved from the house of Obed Edom, and Num. 4.15. to be carried upon the shoulders of the sonnes of Koath, and to bee attended upon by other of the Levites their brethren, in holy garments, it feemeth playne that this weating of holy garmentes, in this peculiar service thus performed to the Lord, in the presence of the Arke, excludeth the wearing of the same garmentes in common services done a-

mong the people.

Besides, that the Singers and Levites did not many time minister in their lynen garmentes, among the people, is apparant. For that the proper place of their ministration was before the Arke in the inner

court; And this is evident by the scriptures: For it is written, that a Heman and Afaph and Ethanwere fingers, and that the King left before the Arke of the Lords covenant, Alaph bi. Chro. the chiefe and his brethren to minister continually before the Arke, ci. Chro. that which was to be done every day, to finge with cinstrumentes, and

2 1. Chr. 15 17.19.

45.16.

• Certaine Demands with their grounds,

d 1. Chro.

e 1. Chr. 6.

2. Chro 5.

to lift up their voyce with joye, and to d pray fe the Lord, because his mercy endureth for ever : yea and this manner of huging and ministring before the Arke in the Tabernacle of the congregation did not only continew vntill e Salomon had built the house of the Lord in lerufalem, but then also, the fingers continewed their office according to their custome for it is written thus And the Levites the lingers of all fortes, as of Asaph, of Heman, and Ieduthun, and of their forms, and of their brethren being clad in fyne Lynen, stood with Cymbales and with Vyolles, and Harpes, at the East end of the Altar, and with them an hundred and twentye priestes, blowinge which Trumpettes, vales then your Lordinips be able to proue by holy wrytt, that the inner courte, or holy place, wherin the Altar stood, was not a place separated for the preistes, & Levites alone, but that the people as well, as the Priestes and Levites, came into the same place, to worshipp before the Arke, wee may bouldly (as wee thinke) affirme that you shall never be able to prove, that the Priestes or Levites under the law, did at any tyme weare any Ministerial garments, when they approched into their viter court, to the people, to doe services for the people. Among the reasons (why the Priestes under the law were commanded to put on their lynen garmentes when they ministred in the fanctuarie and at the table) one reason among other is rendered to bee this: namely, for that the garmentes were holy garmentes, as having from the God of holynes an holy institution.

The reason also why the priestes were commaunded to put of their lynen garmentes, and to put on other wollen garmentes, when they approched to those thinges which were for the people, is this: viz. for that they should not sanctifie the people with their garmentes: From

n

ti

m

ra

all

inl

fuc

111

to

for

afte

for

th:

me

which two reasons; we question thus:

First, seeing under the Ministerie of the Gospell, the Ministers therof, have neither holy place, nor inner court, separated from the people; neither any garmentes, by any holy institution, appointed by the
name of holy garmentes to minister in; we demaund, what authoritie
your Lordships can alleadge out of holy Writt, that it should not bee
as comely and as decent a thinge, for the Ministers of the Gospell, to
approche unto prayer, preaching the worde, and administring the Sacramentes (which are things for the people under the Gospell) without any Ministeriall garmentes devised, and instituted only by man: as

It was for the Priestes to approch vnto the like things, which were for the people vnder the Law, without those holy garmentes, which were ordayned by God?

Secondly, the reason of the prohibition of the Priestes putting on their holy and priestly garmentes, when they approached into the vtter court to those things which were for the people: being this: Namelie, that they should not sanctifie the 'people with their garmentes; wee demande with what reason deduced from holy Writt, you can im-

pugne this polition, viz.

That our Saviour Christ (to preserve the equitie and integritic of that prohibition, aswell in the tyme of the Gospell, as in the tyme of the Law, did not institute any proper ministeriall garmentes, for the Apostles, Evangelistes, Prophetes, Doers of Myracles, or Teachers, to exercise anie their ministeriall function in, least the people putting an opinion of holynes in their garmentes, might thinke the doing of myracles, preaching the worde, prophecying, or ministring the Sacramentes, to be sanctified by their garmentes? And therefore from the manner of preaching the worde, administring Baptisme, celebrating the Lordes Supper, doing Myracles, and praying by the Apostles, Evangelistes, Prophetes, &c. without any proper ministeriall gar-

mentes: we question thus:

r-

ic

ec

to

a-

h-

15

u

In almuch as John Baptist did both crye in the wildernes, and baptile in Iorden, having vpon him none other proper ministeriall garmentes, but onely his ordinarie garment, of Camells hayre, with a girdle of a skinne about his loynes: And seeing the Apostles did neither preache the worde, nor administer the Sacramentes, nor doe myracles, amonge the people, in any other garmentes, then in their vsuall and ordinarie garmentes. And belides, feeing the Apostles, did not institute or commaunde any proper ministeriall garmentes for their fuccessors, namely for Bishoppes, Pastours and Teachers to minister in: leeing (we fay) these things can not be prooved out of holy writt to be otherwise, our desire is to be satisfied from your Lordships, by fome holy rule of faith, why Bishops, Pastors, and Teachers, so long after the Apostles tymes, should be commaunded, to weare any other forme or manner of garmentes in their holy ministrations, then such their ordinarie and viuall garmentes, (being comelie and cleanly garmentes) as by custome, or law of the Countrey, they vsually weare.

B₂ For

For if all ordinarie garmentes be fanctified a like, to minister, and people, by the worde of God and prayer, as all ordinarie meates and drinkes be, we demand, what reason your Lordinips can alleadge out of holy writt, that the publike fervice, accomplished by the Minister, in his ordinarie apparell, should not be as acceptable to the Lord, as is the publique service performed by the people, in their ordinarie garmentes.

And if your Lord hips can affoord vs no reason out of holy Writt, why offering vp of prayers, preaching the Worde, or administring the Sacramentes, should be lesse acceptable to the Lord, when the same are performed in an ordinarie garment, and not in a proper ministeriall garment: we then demaunde, whether your Lordships by any rule of holy Writ, can prove vnto vs, that the worde preached, Sacraments administred, and prayers offered up in a proper ministerial, rather then in an ordinary garment, can be of more efficacie, profit oredification vnto men?

For as the manifestation of the spirit is given to every man to profit withall, so must all things which are done in the Church, bee done to the vie, benefite and profite of the Church: Vnto thele two latter questions, if your Lordships shall answere, that the ministration of the Worde and Sacramentes, can not be proved by holy Writ, to bee in it owne nature, either more acceptable to the Lord, or of more efficacie, profite and edification vnto men, when the same is accomplished by a Minister, wearing only his ordinarie garment: And yet notwithstanding shall tell vs, that the not wearinge of a proper ministeriall garment in the service of prayer, preaching the Worde, and ministring the Sacraments, may be both displeating to God, & hurtful to the people, vpon circumstance & contempt of the Churches, or Magistrates commaund: Then we replie and affirme, that you begge the thing in question, driving vs backe againe, to seeke your resolution of that doubt, which we moved above, in the lecond principall demand:

For ynteffe the Church and lovereigne Christian Magistrate, be inabled by holy Writt to commaund a proper ministerial garment under the Gospell, the not wearing of such a proper ministerial garment, commaunded by the Magistrate, or by the Church, can be neither an offence to God, nor hurtfull to the people.

Besides if neither the Church nor Magistrate, have any certaine rule out of holy Writt, for the resolution of faith, in commanding a proper

mini-

m II do

h VS

rai

113

pr.

tin mo

mi

all ho for

for pre

nec

13

ministerial garment; Then lyeth the same Ministerial garment vnder a just suspicion of abomination, as being a thing invented by man: And then also how should a Minister beeing bound to take for his marke the only will of God, as it is manifested & opened vnto him in the word, be excusable in foro conscientia, if in the execution of his ministerie, he may fashion him selfe to the invention of man?

But the preaching of the worde of God, is of greater moment and im- Obiection, portance, then that the same should be left of for the not vsing of a proper

ministeriall garment.

That is true indeed (fay wee) if a Minister by the vse of such a garment should not sinne? But that a Minister not fully perswaded in his
minde of the law sull vse of a proper ministerial garment, may weare
it doubtingly, and so sinne, to the end he may preache the Worde: we
doubte greatly whether your Lordships bee able to prove this out of
holy Writt & of this we pray your resolutions? For how should a man
doe evil that good may come thereby, when as the Scripture teacheth
vs, that his damnation is just, that so doeth?

The not preaching of the word then, being no sinne in a Minister, when for the keeping of a good coscience, in not vsing a proper ministeriall garment, he is commanded by a superiour power not to preach the word; we demand herevpon, whether your Lordships sinne not rather in pressing, then the Minister in resuling this kinde of Minister

riall garment.

And seeing wee are fallen by occasion upon this comparison of preaching the worde, and wearing a proper ministerial garment, we pray your Lordships patiently to heare, and quietly to answere our demandes?

Our demand then, is whether in your judgements, the preaching of the word be not in it owne nature more precious, more needfull and more profitable for the people, then can bee the wearing of a proper

ministeriall garment?

If your Lordships should preferre the wearing of such a ministeriall garment to the preaching of the worde, in this case, if we should hould our peace, the very stones might cry out shame vpon our faces; for we are taught out of holy Writt, that Marie was commended before Martha, for that shee sitting at the seete of Iesus to heare him preach the word, had chosen the better parte, even one thing that was necessary, and which might not be taken from her.

Answere

14 Certaine Demands with their grounds,

If your Lordings answere (as the trueth is) that the preaching of the word, is more precious, needfull and profitable for the Church, then is the wearing of a proper ministerial garment; Then wee demand why grave, learned and Godly Preachers, whom the King him selfe confesset to love and to honor, have bene put to the worse, commanded to silence, suspended & excommunicated for the not wearing of such a Ministerial garment, when as notwithstanding light, lascivious, and vulcarned Ministers no-preachers, (if so be they have worne a proper ministerial garment) have bene suffered quietly to enjoy their functions, and their benefices?

Bishops.

But all Preachers were never silenced, only certaine Preachers not submitting them selves to the wearing of a ministerial garment according to the orders and lawes of the Church are displaced.

Gentl.

Well bee it so? what other thing doeth this argue, but that the not wearing of a ministerall garment, is reputed to bee a sinne more haynous then is the not preaching of the word?

Bishops.

But there are a multitude of Ministers who be not able to preach the worde; whereas there is not one preacher but he is able to put on a ministeriall garment:

Gentl.

What then? did ever any Preacher (we praye you) when hee was made a Minister, bind him selfe by a solemne vowe to weare a ministerial garment? No. And did not every Minister when he was made a Minister, binde him selfe by a solemne vowe to preache the worde? Yea: And how then cometh it to passe, (valesse the wearing of a ministerial garment, bee reputed more precious then is the preaching of the Worde) that the not wearing of the one by a Preacher, and the not preaching of the other by a Minister, should bee offences, in degree of peyne variatchable? especially when as the not preaching is a breache of the ordinance of God, and the not wearing of a Ministeriall garment, but a transgression of the lawe of man?

When any husbandman shall have sowen cleane and pure wheate in his field, if the envious man shall sowe tares, in this case, if the husbandman plucke vp the wheate, and let the tares growe, would you commend his husbandrie?

Bilhops.

But your Lordships will sow the fieldes with purer Wheate, and provide men of softer Spirits, lesse Novelous, better affected to the state,

and

In

th

yo

pr

11

pro

flo

bea

VP

to

Lo

wa

int

no

net

Wal

fing

1pa

im

din

this

iuc

like

you

that

cce

CIIC

and of more discretion and maturitie of indgement.

Indeed if it may please your Lord hips, this is soone saide; but by Gently your leaves, the thing is not so sone done; yea and besides we demand what good securitie your Lord hips can give vnto the King and State in this case?

For in a matter of fo great danger, as is the perill of the soules of the Kinges subjectes, it were no good safrie (in our opinion,) to trust your bare wordes for the time to come; when as in time past vpon pretence of the wante of able & preaching Ministers, ye have thought it sit, rather then to have none at all, to reteyne a number of vn-preaching ministers, knowne to bee no better then idle beastes and slow bellies.

And if your Lordships already have such a sufficient number of learned, sober, wise and softe spirited Preachers, to bee disposed vpon vacant benefices at your commaunde, as that you bee able to furnishe the Churches of all those ministers, whom you intend to deprive, for not conformitie vpon an instant: wee praye your Lord hippes to refolve the Kinge what charine you have carryed towarde his people in time palt, when you have collated (for a great parte) the benifices of your owne giftes, either vpon no Preachers, or at leastwife vpon strawberie preachers; but if your Lordshippes intende hereafter to sende foorth Preachers, that shall yeeld beryes, not once in the years onely, but at the least strawe once every moneth; then wee demande? what thankes you would con your Stewardes, in case they should provide no better Cookes for the dresfing of your dyners, then fuch onely, as under one whole monethes space, could not dispatch the roasting of an egge, or frying of a fmelt?

If your Lordhips thinke that the preaching Ministers, not yeal-ding to the Christian Magistrates authoritie, in the not vsing of this ministerials (falsly by you so called indisferent) apparell, by such their disobedience, may bee an example vnto the people, of like disobedience in other matters: Then wee demande, whether your Lordshippes carry not a testimonic in your owne consciences, that their abstaying from the vse of Ministerials apparell, proceede not rather from an honest and good hearte, to the obedience of God, then of any evill affection conceyved against the authoritie

Certaine Demands With their grounds, authoritie of the Magistrate? for before the Kingdome of England was lawfully invested in the Royal person of our Soveraine Lord King I A M E S, did not fundry of them abide many sharpe reproches and bitter tauntes, for their Scotizing, and defending the lingle forme of church policie, vpheld by the Kings authoritie in the Realme of Scotland yea and doe not the same Ministers now at this day hartily and devoutly pray, for the life and prosperitie of the King, the Queene, the noble yong Prince, and all other the Kings Royall progenie? yea and excepting this one point of their not conformitie vinto the ceremonies (wherein they alleadge for them selves, the conscience of the vulawfulnes and inconvenience of the faid ceremonies) are they not knowne to be men worthy to be respected as the Ministers of Christ? men of good reputation for learning? of honest conversarion? and peaceable among their neighbours? and men very obedient (this one thing excepted) to all authoritie : by whose good doctrine also, and example of life, the Magistrates in every Countie have found it more easie to continew the common people in the dewties of their subjection, and leyaltie to the supreme power?

Nay, which is more at this very instant, doe not they extraordinarily declare and testifie their love, their loyaltie and their sidelitie vnto the King, when by their loanes they supply the Kings want, though in the meane time they them selves want, and be driven to borrow to supply their owne necessities? if then in these great and waightie things, appertaining to the dignitie of the Kings Crowne, they carefully and holily approve the selves to be both teachers & followers of the Apostles doctrine, would they not aswell (trow you) by the wearing of a ministerial garment, subject their neckes to the Kings authoritie, if by a greater band of faith & obedience to the most high and mightic God,

they were not drawne to the not wearing thereof?

Touching the reason yealded by some, that ministerial apparell, is to distinguish the minister from other men: it seemeth vnto vs to be a reason altogether without reason: for albeit the outward forme of a Ministers ordinary apparell may lawfully and expediently differ from the outward fashion of apparell common to other men, and so the Ministers person by his apparell may be knowne vnto all such as know him not by face: Neverthelesse it is void of all sense, that his ministerial apparell, in the publike service of that Church, whereof he is a Minister, should bee an inseparable note, to distinguish his person,

from

W

as

m

21

m

ne

ho

kn

they

noth

Drawne one of boly Writt.

from the persons of every of his people: For sithence by name, by face, by office, by place, by voice, year by ordinary apparrell also, every minister is, or ought to be known vnto his people, what a kind of foundnesses it, to imagine that a minister can better be knowne by wearing of a ministeriall garment, then by the dewe execution of his ministerial function.

Concerning the reason of decencie and comlines veged by some, for the vse of a white ministeriall garment, in the ministerie of the Gospell, because the same cometh more aptly to bee discussed in the question following, we will not trouble your Lordships at this time with any other matter, about the two first demandes: And therefore we will proceede to the thirde; which for your Lordships better remembrance, we hold it not amisse to repeate againe.

If it bee lawfull for a soveraine Magistrate, by authoritie of holy writt, to ordeine and appropriate a ministeriall garment, for the ministers of the Gospell, then we demand, whether by authoritie of holy Writt, he may ordayne and appropriate such a ministeriall garment, as in matter, forme and specie differeth not from that ministeriall garment, which by the high Priest & chiefe Prince of idolatry, hath bene, and still is ordeyned and appropriated to be a necessary priestly garment, for his idolatrous Priests, in their idoll service?

And to the end your Lordships may perceave our demands to bee made coscionably & not humorously, or novelously, we have thought necessary to lay downe and annex certaine principles or canons out of holy Writ, wherepon our Demands and reasons are grounded, which grounds and reasons also, we extend against crossing in Baptisme, and kneeling in the acte of receiving the Communion.

The first ground.

The graven images of their Gods, shall ye burne with fire, and covet Deut. 7.25. not the silver and gold that is on them, nor take it to thee, least thou be snared therewith, for it is an abhomination.

Bring not therefore abhomination into thine house, least thou bee ac- Deut, 7.26. sursed like it, but utterly abhorre it, and counte it most abhominable, for it is accursed.

There shall cleave nothing of the damned thing to thine hands; All Deut. 13.17 they that make any image are vanitie, and their delectable things shall Isa. 44.9. nothing profite.

C

And

Efa. 30.22.

And shall polute the covering of the images of silver, and the riche ornaments of gold, and cast them away, as a menstruous cloth, and thou shalt say unto it, get thee hence.

1 Cor. 10.7

Neither be yeidolaters, as some of them were.

Babes keepe your selves from idoles.

From these grounds of the Law, the Prophets, and the Gospell, wee demand whether your Lordships against these, can oppose any other rules of holy Writt, to prove that any Christian Magistrate, Christian Minister, or Christian people may be blamelesse and without fault, in foro conscientia, if in the publike and outward worship of God, either he shall command, or they shall vse, any the relickes, monuments or memorialls, any the delectable, vaine, and vnprostable things, some times, or now apperteyning to the idolatrous sacrifice of that great and knowne idole, the Popish Masse.

For if every commandement of the first Table of the Law, be away, and bee a path out of the which no Christian ought to turne a side, either to the right hand, or to the left; but in the which every Christian is bound as well in the time of the Gospell, as every Israelite was bound in the time of the Lawe to walke; then not onely that great Idoll of the Popish Masse it selfe, sett vp and worshipped in the time of the Gospell; but then also, all relickes, monuments, memorialls and delectable things with their accessaries, appendices, and appurtinances, as a menstrous cloth, ought to be cast away, and to bee bidden get ye hence; especially we say in the publike service and worship of the true God.

For by how much more, the glorie of the Sonne, who spake from heaven, doeth farre excell the honour of a servant, which spake on earth, by so much the more ought that Idoll & that Idolatrie, which is set vp and committed in the time of the Gospell, be esteemed more vile and detestable, then was that Idoll and that Idolatrie set vp and

committed in the time of the law.

The reasons of the prohibiton of coveting, and of taking any the images, their coverings, their ornaments, their golde and their silver, under the Law were in number source: Namely:

First they were an abhomination to the Lord. Then: A feare of the peoples being snared.

Thirdly, a threat of being accursed:

And lastly, for that they were vaine, and could nothing profite.

6

pl

tif

inc

to

im

the

of

fina

the

vnt

tain

Wr

that

If then that great Idoll of the Popish Masse, with all the Copes, Vestiments, Surplices, Crosses, Kneelings, Candles and other memorials and delectable things, invented for the adorning and pompe thereof, be abhominable and accursed in the sight of God, bee also vaine and can nothing profit men: If also the Magistrate, the Ministers and people believing the Gospel, may be snared, and shalbe accursed after the maner of the Israelites, if so be without warrant from holy Writt, they shall vie these things in the worship of God; we demand, whether from the doctrine of the Law, the Prophets, and the Gospell, we may not conclude thus:

With what sever things, either Magistrate, Minister, or people may be snared, and for the vse of what soever things they may be accursed, those things may not lawfully be commanded or vsed in the publike worship of God.

But as well the Magistrate as the Ministers and people may then be snared, and shalbe accursed with, and for the command and use of Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. when in the publike wor-ship of God, they be commanded and used without warrant of his word:

Therefore Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. may not be commanded nor vsed in the publike worship of God, without warrant of his word.

If your Lordships denie the assumpt; and answere, that Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. commanded and vied for the adorning, and bewtifying of that great Idoll the Masse, bee in their owne nature thinges indifferent, and none other otherwise can be accursed or be a snare to any Christian Magistrate, Minister or people, then as they bee imployed to the vie and service of that Idoll; and in this regarde, their free and liberall vie is no more now forbidden, then is the eating of meates sacrificed vinto Idolls, and that therefore all feare of being snared and accursed, doeth cease, because wee have free libertie by the word for the eating of meates sacrificed vinto Idolls; then herevito wee replie, that your answere is very visuafficient and vincertaine, and without any strength of reason, drawne from holic Writt.

For though wee grant that nothing is vncleane of it selfe, and that we ought not to accompt that polluted, which God hath purified:

neverthelesse we pray your Lordships to resolve vs by holy Writt, that God hath by his worde aswell purified Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. for the outward vse of his publike worship, as by his word hee hath purified meates sacrificed vnto idols, for the private vse of mans life.

When Peter had fastened his eyes upon the sheete, let downe from heaven by the foure corners, he cofidered and faw foure footed beafts of the earth, and wilde beafts, and creeping things, and foules of the heaven, which were all the good creatures of God, and which also in the beginning were created for the foode of man: only for a time while Ifraell was vinder the Schoolmaistership of the Lawe, certayne of these creatures were forbidden to be eaten, not because they were in their owne nature evill, or vncleane, but only because they were made vncleane for a time, by prohibition: And therefore when the fulnesse of time was come, and that Christ the ende of the Lawe, was rysen from the dead, the law of not eating meates for bidden ceased: And by letting downe the sheete, and commandement given to Peter, to flay and to cate, Peter was affured that all those meates were restored to their primarie vie, integritie, and puritie. And therefore these creatures being in their owne originall nature good; and in the beginning fanctified by the Lord, to the vse of mans life, how soever the idolaters prophanely & abusively did sacrifice them vnto their idoles, yet could those idoles never so pullute them by their abuse, as that they might vtterly take away the good and profitable vie, for the which they were first created.

But that Copes, Surplices, Crosses, Candles, and other memorialls and delectable things, authorised by that man of sinne, for the pompous service of his great idoll, the Masse, bee of the nature of meates, or of the nature of gold and silver, (how soever sacrificed vnto idolls)

For first: meates, gold and silver in their owne nature, were by creation (as earst was saide) good, and to the ende wherevnto they were created ever blessed of God, and never accursed; whereas on the other side, no reliques, monuments, or memorials of any idolatrie, were ever blessed, but ever accursed.

Secondly, they were never in their first originall good or profitable, no not for that wie wherevoon they were imployed: for as the Idole it selfe, is vayne, unprofitable, polluted and abhominable, yea nothing in tespect of that thing which it representeth, even so also bee all the accessaries

Act, 11.

ceffories and appurtenances thereof, damned and execrable.

Thirdly, these reliques, monumentes and memorialls of Idolatrie, being not the workes of God, created in the beginning for the vse of man, but the workes of mens handes, applied to the service of an Idol, are not by the prohibition of God, vicleane, and polluted only for a tyme, as certeyne meates were, but are evermore by a perpetuall decree, things damned and accurred for the vie of any fervice vnto God: And therefore how loever (as meates are viually folde in the shambles) they may be fold in a spinsters, or in an Embrotherers shopp, and may be vsed by man, to some benefite of this life; yet that they may be translated from the service of an Idol, to the worship of the trew God, without warrant of being fanctified to that vie, by the worde of God, or that the Ministers and people professing the gospell, may as intyrely & freely vie and receive them in the outward worthip of the trewe God, as the populh priestes and people did in the service of their great Idoll the Masse; we deny: And whether you be able to prooue the contrarie out of holy Writ, we demaund your resolutions?

And thus much for confirmation of the assumption of our former request: it followeth that we demaund, whether your Lordships by anie rule out of holy Writ, be able to repugne these conclusions follow-

ing.

First. All Idolls with all their ornamentes, and all their appurtenances, by the doctrine of holy Scriptures, as a menstruous cloth, are to be cast away and to be bidden get you hence:

But the Popish Masse is an Idoll, and all the Copes, Surplices, Crosses, Candles, &c. have bene, and yet be, ornamentes and appurtenances unto that Idole of the popish Masse:

Therefore the popish Masse, and all Copes, Surplices, Crosses, Candles, &c. as a menstruous cloth are to be cast away, and

to be bidden gest you hence.

Secodly. What soever bath bene first invented by man, and afterward appropried by authoritie of the great whore, to be a note, token, badge or ensigne unto all her lovers, in the acte of her fornication, the same ought not to be any note, token, badge, or ensigne unto the spouse of Christ, or any her lovers, in the acte of the outward worship of the true God.

But all Copes, Surplices, Crossings have bene first inveted by man, & appropried by authoritie of the great whore, to be notes, tokens,

C3 badges,

Certaine Demands with their grounds, badges, and ensignes unto all her lovers in the acte of her fornication:

Therefore no Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. ought to be any badge, token or ensigne, to the Spouse of Christ, or any her lovers, in

the acte of the worship of the true God.

If your Lordinips deny the truth of the first proposition of the first argument to be proved by those places before quoted out of the law, the Prophetes and Apostles, then we pray your Lordships to yeeld vs some reason, from other places of holy Writt, for the confirmation of such your negation? For vnto vs the letter of the scriptures, seeme to be very direct & plaine to the purpose, for the which we have alleadged them:

If your Lordings deny the consequence of the first proposition, of the second argument, and affirme that the spouse of Christ, and her lovers may lawfully weare in the outward acte of the worship of the true God, the notes, tokens, badges and ensignes, commanded by the great whore to be worne in the acte of her fornication; then we demand, by what places of holy Writ your Lordings be able to prove this your affirmation? in the meane time give vs leave, according to the measure of our skill, to discharge the assumpt: of the first argument, from such error, as happily at the first view may seeme in your opinions, to be conteyned therein.

If then your Lordships shall challenge the assumpt: of the first argument, either of particularitie, or ambiguitie of the wordes (Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c.) for that all Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. have not bene, ne now be ornamentes, and appurtenances vnto that great Idoll of the Masse, because some Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. be ornamentes and solemnites for the administration of Baptisme, celebration of the Lords Supper, and other divine services; then for the administration of the verthrow of all, both new and ould Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. to be vsed by the Spouse, and her lovers, in the acte of the worship of the true God, wee propound vnto your Lordships these groundes of holy Writt follows

ing, wherevnto also we demaund your resolutions?

Beware least thou be taken in a snare after them, after that they bee destroyed before thee, & least thou aske after their gods, saving, how did these nations serve their gods, that I may do so likewise, thou shalt not do so unto the Lord thy God.

Deu. 12.30

re

ai

of

de

qu

tio

trii or a

ma

We:

not

for

Te are the children of the Lord your God, you shall not cut your selves Deu. 14.1.2 nor make you any baldnes betweene your eyes for the dead: for thou art an holy people, unto the Lord thy God, and the Lord hath chosen thes to be a precious people unto him felfe, above all the people that are upon the earth.

What fellow ship hath right sous nes with unrighteon snes? What com- 1. Cor. 6.14 15,16 munion hath light with darknes ? What concord hath Christ with Beliall, or what parte bath the beleever with the infidell? and what agree-

ment bath the temple of God, with Idolls?

Come I will here thee the damnation of the great whore, that fitteth Rev. 17'2 3 upon many Waters; with whom have committed fornication the Kings of the earth, and the inhabitants of the earth are drunken with the wine of her fornication.

And I same seates, and I sawe the soules of them that were dead for Revel. 20.4 the witnes of lesus, and for the word of God, and which did not worship the beast, neither his image, neither had taken his marke upon their for-

beads, or on their handes.

From these grounds of holy Writ, taken out of the law, we demand, Deut. 12. whether the worship of every Idoll, and falle god, or not, rather every superstitious, and false worship of the true God bee forbidden? And whether this superstitious and false worship of the trew God, bee not intended to be that maner, forme and fashion of worship, both wholy and in parte, which the Gentiles vied in the worthip of their Idolles?

For there being a commandement given before vnto the children of Israell, for the burning of the Images, and of the vtter destruction of all the Idolles of the Cananites: it is by these Scriptures commaunded (after these Idoles were destroyed, and the Images burnt) that the children of Ifraell should not so much as once hearken after, or inquire; how those nations served their gods, least they should be taken in a snare, to doe so, and likewise vnto the Lord their God, as the nations did vnto their Idoles:

And thus much also doth that argument, drawen from the doctrine of the gospell enforce, of not having felowship, concord, parte, or agreement with beliall; or with an Idoll: of the not taking of the marke of the beast in their handes, or in their foreheads; And therfore we again demand, whether the Church (falfly fo called) of Rome, be not this great whore, with whom the kings of the earth have comitted fornication? And whether shee also be not from the earth, and of this

30.33.

world

world? Whether the beautie of this great whore stand not in outwarde pompe of apparell, and outward shewes, after the manner of a strum-

pett ?

Whether this outmard pompe of apparell and shewes of impudency consist not partly in Copes, Surplices, Crosses, and such like trashe? And whether these Copes, Surplices, Crosses, &c. be notdesigned by that whore, to be the proper and peculiar cognizances, tokens, badges and ensignes of her lovers, comitting fornication with her great Idoll the breaden God?

If your Lordships can instly deny our demaunds, in the affirmative to be true: we then agayne demaund; If the bryde of the lambe, being a pure virgine, shall at any tyme be apparelled, in the acte of the service of her beloved, like to the minnions wayting vppon the great Whore, in the acte of her fornications: wee demaunde (wee saye) whether the Bryde (in this case) can be precious and amiable in the eyes of the Bridegrome? can be said to have no concord with beliall? no parte with an insidell? no agreement with an Idoll? can bee saide, not to take vpon her hande, vpon her forehead, or vpon her backe, the marke of the beast? Can be sayd, not to doe so, and lykwise to the brydgrome, as the mynions of the whore, doe to their Idole? or not to doe

so, and lykewise, to God, as the papists doe to the devill?

Nay, if the Church of England hertelfalone; bee not the bryde, but one of the maydes of the Bride, and if also shee professe hir self, to be the first of the three, rather then the last, of the the thirty worthyes and honorable Virgins; we demand what holy reason should move this maide of England, still to fashion her Necklaces, her Bracelets, hir Frontlets, hir Cheynes, hir lewels, hir lynen Apornes, hir gaudie Kirtles, like to those which the most famous strumpett, that ever was, (for pompous ostentation and braverie) daily vseth and putteth on, especially sithence all hir other sellow maides, in other Countries attending upon the Bride with most solemne vowes and obtestations, and with a most holy disdayne and indignation have abandoned and cast away, as a menstruous cloth, what attire soever hath bene, or yet is proper, to the minions of that great Whore?

If your Lordships answere that the Maide of Englande, being perfectly instructed from the Bridegroms voice, (that no Cope, Surplice or Crosse is uncleane of it selfe,) may command hir Damsels, to attyre and fashion their liveries, colours and badges, like to those which the

mini-

PI

gi

CO

Br

wh

onl

ofe

refu

gre

reac

the

pro

vice

doi

minions & louers of the great whore, comonly deck them felves withall: if to be hir commandement tend not, that hir Damfells should be defiled or bee druncken with the Wine of the fornication of the great whore, but only that they should be humbled at the feete of the Christian Magistrate, whom the Bridgrome hath armed with power, to be a protector and a nurling father of all the liberties and franchifes of the Bride, and of hir Maides: if your Lordships (we say) to dischardge the Maide of England, in foro conscientia, shall answere thus and thus: then because the Christian Magistrate is him selfe not the Bridgrome; but one of the children of the Bride chamber, & a friend of the Bridegromes; and for this cause, & in this respect, can not rightly command the Maide of Englande to be apparelled otherwise in the service of the Bridegrome, then as the Bridegrome hath lycensed the Magistrate to command; wee then demand, by what rule of holy Writt, your Lordthips can prove, that the Christian Magistrate bath commission from the Bridegrome, to command the Maide of England at all seasons, and in all the courts of his aboade, to waite and to attend, to prefent, and to put vp hir requests, hir prayers, and hir services, in such suite and change of rayment, as is common with that of the minions of the great whore?

For valeile the Christian Magistrate be armed with power from the Bridegrome, to chardge the maide of England to be thus attired, your Lordings can not be ignorant, but that aswell the nursing Father in commanding, as the nurse Child in obeying, shall sinne against the

Bridgrome.

15

CC

hc

u-

For how soever it may bee lawfull for the Christian Magistrate to command or forbidde the vse, or not vse of every kinde of creature, which in it owne nature, by vertue of creation, is good, and therefore only called indifferent, because indifferently at the moderate pleasure of every man, the same with prayer and thanksgiving, may be vsed, or refused, for the vse of this life without offence to God; nevertheles we greatly stand in doubt, whether the Christian Magistrates authoritie reach so farr as to command that the worke of mans hands be vsed in the outward worship of the true God, which for the pollution and prophanation thereof in the service of an Idoll, is become for the service of the true God, abhominable and damned.

Nay; if Hezekiah King of Iudah, be commended in holy Writt, for 2Ki. 18.3.4. doing vprightly in the fight of the Lord, when he brake in pieces the

D

brasen

Certaine Demands With their grounds,

brasen Serpet, & called it a piece of brasse, when the children of Israell, by burning incense to it, abused it to Idolatrie, notwithanding the same were hift setup by Moyses, at the commandement of God: How much more ought Christian Magistrates to destroy and to breake in pieces all maner workes of mens handes, never commanded in holy writt, either for the service of God, or for the profite of his people?

Bilhops.

But Hezekiah might have done well and uprightly also, if so be he had still reserved the Serpent, as a memoriall of the miracles wrought by the looking upon it, though for the abuse of burning incense before it, he had not broken the same in pieces.

Gentl.

But wee befeech your Lord hips to informe vs rightly out of holy Writt, whether this your answere in the fight of the Loid , be vpright, yea or no?

First, after the peoples heartes were once turned aside, by burning incense to the Serpent, it was not in the power of Hezekiah to knowe. whether the peoples hearts would have bene againe vpright in the memoriall of the miracles, yea or no? And as for the restitution of the Serpent, to that integritie of doing miracles, after the peoples departure out of the wildernesse, when they were no more stunge by Serpents, that to doe, was much lesse in the power of Hezekiah: Besides, because by the doctrine of holy Writt, we be taught, not only that the forbidding of one thing, include tha commandement of the contrary, and that the commandement of one thing inforceth a forbidding of the contrary; but also that aswell the omitsion of a dewtie required, as the commission of a thing prohibited, is an offence to God: We pray your Lord hips to resolve vs out of holy writ; whether the holy Ghost, com-1.161. 18.4. mending the vprightnes of Hezekiah, aswel for breaking to pieces the brasen Serpent, as in taking away the high places, and breaking the Images and cutting down the groves, doe not instruct vs that he was to have bene discommended, in case he had let the Serpent stood vnbroken down, though he had cut downe the Groves, broken the Images, and taken away the high places?

For in our judgments by the doctrine aforesaid, founded upon holy Writt, the breaking of the Serpent, being reckoned as a part of his vprightnes, and for the which he is commended, the not breaking thereof, must needes have bene as an unvpright and as discommendable a thing, as the not cutting downe of the Groves, the not breaking the

Images, and the not taking away the High places.

But

11

11

117

cl

th

111

cal

CO

do

of

pla

but

cA

had

like

expi

thef

whe

Surp

Bu

your

doct

Ifrac

Law

But such Copes, Surplices, Croffes, &c. as heretofore have bene worne, and vied at, & for Idols ferivce, are not cadem numero with ours: For all the old Popish Copes, Surplices, &c. be long since out of date, and we will have all picke and fan new.

Yea be it fo, yet if the fashion and workmanship of their old, be the Gent true paterns of our new, how shall not ours be like vnto theirs? King Aha? though hee fent not the very fame Altar which hee faw at Da- 2.Ki. 10.10 mascus, but the paterne and the fashion of it, and all the workemanthippe thereof to Vriah the Priest, yet doeth the holy Storie witnesse, that hee trespassed, and did evill, and commendeth Hezekiah, that 2. Ki. 29.56 in the first yeare and first moneth of his reigne, hee commanded the Levites to cary forth the filthinesse out of the Temple, and all the vncleanenes that they found in the Temple of the Lorde, brought in by their Fathers.

In the second booke of the Kings, the holy Ghost testifying what 2, Ki. 17.15 evill Hoshea the fonne of Elab King of Israell & his Fathers had done, in the fight of the Lord, sheweth vs, that they followed vanitie, and became vaine, and followed the Heathen, that were round about them; concerning whom the Lord hath charged them, that they should not doe like them. And againe that they walked according to the fashion of the Heathen; And againe that they burned incense in the High places as did the Heathen.

And againe, that they would not obey, but hardened their neckes, like to the neckes of their Fathers: and againe, that they obeyed not, but did after their old custome? yea and the Lorde by the mouth of Abyah King of Iudah reproved Ieroboam to his face, not for that he had made Priefts of other countries, but that he had made him Priefts, like the people of other countries.

But by your patience, Sirs, these cases be not alike, For there was an expresse commandement in the Law, that there should be but one Altar, the paterne also whereof, was given to Moyses in the holy Mount, Whereas we be not expresty forbidden in the Gospell to weare Copes, Surplices, or to make Crosses.

But by your Lordships favour (fay we) the cases (notwithstanding this your exception) be a like: for the Bride (fay we) of the Lambe; by the doctrine of the Gospell, is aswell expresly taught and bound as the Ifraclites were, to observe and keepe the second commandment of the Law; yea shee is commanded not to fashion hir self like to this world;

Bb.

13.

40.

Gentl.

D2

not

dell, or with an Idell.

If then the great whore doe full raigne, though not over vs; if the beatt doe still rage, though his hornes puth not at vs; if Beliall be still worshipped, though not by vs: And if also this Beliall, and this Beast, vaunt him felfe, and this great Whore glory and decke hir felfe in, and with this kind of apparelling, and this maner of Croffing) we humbly pray your Lordships to resolve vs out of holy Writ, whether amog our Pricits, who foever shall come to praye, to preach, or to administer the Sacraments, with a Cope, with a Surplice, with a Taper, with a Croffe, or with a shaven Crowne, like to the Copes, Surplices, Tayers, Crosses and shaven Crownes, of those Priestes which are Priestes to them which are no gods, whether fuch our Priestes (wee laye) borrowing this attyre from the great whore, and vling the same in the service of the true God; doe not follow vanitie and become vaine, and follow the Popith Idolaters about vs, concerning whom, the Lord hath forbidden we should not doe like them, neither walke according to their fashion, and after their old custome, niether to have any part, fellowship, communion, concord, or agreement with them?

Bishops,

Gentl.

What Sirs? would you then have vs, to have no manner of agreement, concord, or communion, with the Papistes with the Beast, or with the great whore? what, no thing at all? why then Sirs, you would belike have vs pull downe our Churches, our Oratories and our Chap-

110

th

01

th

31

eal

clo

We

be

nic

vn

pells?

Though our desire be to keepe our selves from all the desilements and pollutions of that Romish strumpett, yet doe wee dislike the pullinge downe of Churches, &c. For we knowe and are fully perswaded, by rules of holy Writt, that wee may lawfullie vse, not onlie Churches, Oratories and Chappells: but also that we may have seates in Churches, Pulpits in Churches; Fonts in Churches, Tables together with faire lynen table clothes, to cover these Tables in Churches; Bells, and Ropes for Bells, in Churches; yea and besides that, Reading, Praying, Preaching, administring of Sacraments, Singing, yea and Ringing too: are thinges which lawfully may bee performed by vs in Churches, because all these and every of these thinges being of some holy, naturall, necessarie, profitable, comely or good, orderly vse for

for the people, in, for, and toward the fervice of God; by some generall rule of holy Writt, be approved, and therefore by the same comman-

ded, and fanctified for our yle.

But as for Copes, Surplices, Crosses, Candles at noone dayes, and fuch like superstitious ornaments, rites and ceremonies, because there is neither holynes, neither nature, neither necessitie, neither vtilitie, neither decencie, neither any good order that require the lame; Nay, because what soever good order, decencie, vtilitie, necessitie, nature, or holines can require, may without those ornaments, rites, and ceremonies, be fully accomplished; we affirme that they ought as a menstruous cloth be cast away, and to be bidden, get ye hence.

Why! Sirs? do you approve of a white lynen table cloth to cover the Bishops. communion table, and do you disallow a white lynen Surplice? What? is it not as comely a thing, and as good an order, we pray you, for the Minister at the communion table, to stand in a fyne, large, and cleane lynen Surplice, as it is a thing decent and orderly, for the communion table, to

be overspread with a fine and cleane lynen table-cloth?

Vitill your Lord hips shall be able to prove vnto vs, either out of Gentle holy Writt, or by forme good reason, that it is, as undecent a thing for your pages, to wayte and to attend upon your trechers and upon your cuppes, without wearing whit lynen Surplices, as it is a flovenly manner, for any kinde of persons to sit downe, to eate, and to drinke, at the table, without having layd before them a whit lynen table-cloth, or napkin; we are bould to affirme, that there is great oddes, betweene the decent vie of a white lynen table-cloth, for the communion table, and betweene the yfe of a white Surplice, for the back of a minister.

Indeed, if it were as comely and as orderly a thing, for all fortes of people, aswell woemen, as men, to weare Surplices vpon their vpper garmentes, when they come to the Lords table, as it is a decent and orderly manner for all men and woemen, when soever they sit downe to eate, and to drink, to have their tables covered, with fayre lynen tableclothes; we would not then much stand with your Lordships, that the wearing of a Surplice, by a Minister, at the communion table, might be as decent, and as orderly a thing, as is the covering of the communion table, with a fayre lynen table-cloth: but the first being a thinge vndecent, we denye the second to be decent: And yet were the latter graunted to be decent, what advantage, we pray your Lordships, doth this argument of comparison (from a white lynen table-cloth, to a

Certaine Demands with their grounds, whit lynen Surplice) bring for the vse of a silken, Embrodered, & yellow glittering Cope? Are the heartes of the Priestes in Cathedrall and Collegiat churches, so golden, as they must signifie their golde hearts, by their golden garmentes?

Hic tacuere phriges, auro sua somnia calant:

Well, by your patience (Sirs) though wee have not much to fave for maintenance and defence of our Copes, which are to be veed onely in our Cathedrall and great churches, and not in your Parochiall churches, yet we pray you to carry a better estimation of the wearing of Surplices, by your Ministers, then you doe of the wearing of Surplices, by the popula Priests: Because the fountayne from whence ours sprange, and the end whereunto ours tende and are applied, bee much every way different from theirs.

Gentl.

36.

Treat: of ceremon:

lect.3.

Why then give vs leave, by your Lordships favour, to demand what were the fountaynes from whence, and what are the endes wherevinto both theirs and ours iprang, and do tend ?Your Lordhips can not denye but as theirs were first worne, without any comandement of God, fo be ours, and that therfore, as the wearing of theirs was but by devise and authoritie of man, so is ours: As theirs were commaunded for order, comelines and decencie, vnto the minions of the great whore, fo be ours commended to be decent, comely and orderly garments, for the maydes of the bryde. As theirs were for a conformitie amonge the lovers of her that committed fornication, to bee ours for an uniformitie among the lovers of a pure and chast virgin: As theirs were for notes and distinctions betweene the people and the priestes, to be ours held to be markes betweene the shepheardes and the sheepe: As theirs might not be worne but vpon the vpper peltes of their priestes, so must not ours be vied, but vpon the vpper garmentes of our ministers: As their Priestes might not facrifice, nor make holy water without them, even so is it not lawfull for our Ministers without them, to pray or to administer the Sacramentes. As theirs were fignificant, and yet notwithstanding, were altogether idle, vayne, fruitlesse, and without edification: so be ours mainteyned to bee neither darke not dumme ceremonies, to ferve as a decent order, and discipline, and such as be apt to flirre up the dull mynd of man, to the remembrance of his dutie to God, by fome speciall fignification, whereby he might bee edified, to have respect how to please God and profit the church. Whereas neverthelesse they be in tructh without edificatio, without profit, nay rather hurt-

de

al

li

hurtfull to the church, without pleasing God, without vse, idle, vayne, and needles; As theirs might not be vsed at any other time, or in any other place, but only in the place & at the time of their service of their salse god; so may not ours be vsed in any other place, or at any other time, but only at the time, and in the place of the worthip of the true God.

And lastly, whosever among the Priestes, refused to weare their Surplices, were adjudged Scismatickes and to bee excommunicated; even so what soever minister, among our Ministers, denieth to receive and to put on one of our Surplices, he is at the pleasure of his ordinarie, for such refusall, to be suspended, excommunicated and deprived. In so much as there is not any one least circumstance to be sound, wherein their, and our Surplices, differ in matter, forme, vie, ende, or application.

And thus much of our Demanndes, grounded vpon holy Writt, whether the Christian Magistrate, may commaunde and appropriate a Ministeriall garment for the Ministers of the Gospell, and especially such a Ministeriall garment, as hath bene a priestly garment, for the Priestes of that great Idoll the Masse. Now it followeth that wee demande, vpon what rules of holy Writt the making of a Crosse, and signing of the child in the forehead in the administration of Baptisme is grounded, against which we propound these grounds of holie Writt.

Grounds out of holy writt against the vse of the Crosse in Baptisme.

Thou shalt not make to thy selfe any graven Image.

Exod. 20

All they that make an Image are vanitie, & their delectable things 16a,44.9.

Every man is a beast by his owne knowledge.

Ierem. 10.

This people have removed their hearte farr from me, and their feare 114-15.17 toward me, was taught by the precept of man.

In vaine they worship mee, teaching for doctrines the precepts of Math. 13.8

From

From which grounds we demand:

Whether your Lordings can prove out of holy Writt, that there can be a service yealded vnto God, where God hath not given a commandement?

Whether the more a man thinketh to doe any thinge by his owne wisedome, and not as God instructesh him, the more he doe not prove him selfe to be a vile beast?

Whether any thing can be acceptable in the fight of God, which he never required at our handes?

Whether God wilbe honored, according to mans fantalie, or rather

doth not detelt, what loever is not grounded vpon his worde?

If your Lord hips for the avoyding of these demandes, shall deny the feigned making of a crofle, and feigned figning of the childe in the forehead with a croffe, in the administration of Baptisme, to be any service, or to be any worthip vnto God, and therefore neither voluntarie service, neither will-worthip vnto God: then we demaunde for what intent, or to what end, the making of a Croffe, and figninge the forehead of the childe with a croffe, is commaunded as a necesfarie service, to be performed by the Minister, in that publique acte of Gods worthin? for if the forme and manner of Gods worthin (as you fay) be established, prescribed, & conteyned in the booke of common prayer, if also the ministration of the Sacrament commaunded in that booke, be (as you fay) no corrupt, superstitious, or valawfull worship, neither conteyning any thing in it that is repugnant to the scriptures: how should not the making of a Crosse, and signing of the childe in the forehead with a croffe, but be a pure, a religious, & a lawfull worthip vnto God? Belides, if making a croffe vpon the childes forehead, and figning the forchead of the childe with a croffe, be no act of gods worship in the administration of Baptisme; Then we demaund, how the Minister in fore coscientia, can be guiltles of taking the holy name of God in vaine, when as in the act of Gods service and worship, hee doth neither worship, nor serve God?

Constitut. & c2.published 1604. com.4.10.

Bishops,

But, Sirs, by your patience, the Minister in obeying the authoritie of the Church, when shee commandeth him, to make a Crosse, herein obeyeth God.

Genti.

Why then, by your Lord hips favour, wee demaund, whether the church doe serve God, or doe but please her selfe, in that her comand-

nent?

ki

th

111

tic

an

ho

fhi

pa

pra

cell

wh

dete

Al

man

thof

peno die o

cani

hrme

vestel

thead

ters ri

vellel

With!

ment? For (fay we) where no commaundement of God, there no fervice vnto God: And therefore if the Church, by fuch her commaundement, doe but please hir selfe; and not serve and please God. Then we demaund, whether the Church in thus pleasing her selfe, and not serving Cod, doe not offend God, asmuch, nay rather more, then if shee had commaunded a voluntarie service, and a will-worship vnto God?

But, Sirs, the acte of making a Croffe, and figning the childe in the Bishops. forehead with a crosse, is no parte of baptisme, for we graunt, that bap-

tisme is perfect and absolute without it.

But yet by your Lordships favour, the question is not, whether ma- Gentl. king of a croffe, or figning with a croffe, be any parte of baptisme, but whether making of a crofle, and figning with a crofle, be any parte of the continued acte of the outward and divine worthip given vnto God in the publick administration of baptisme? For if it be a meere traditionall, and no divine or religious action, or if it be partly traditional, and partly divine, we still vrge your Lordships to prove vnto vs, by the holy scriptures, whether it were ever lawfull in any act of Gods worthip, to act a thing meerely traditionall, or partly traditionall, aud partly divine.

As for the action of fitting, standing, kneeling, going, reading, praying, preaching, and if there be any other Divine, naturall, or necellarie actions, powers or faculties of the body or minde, without

which no outward service can be yealded vnto God.

We confelle, that the Church with the Magistrates consent, may determine of the comely and orderly acting of these things: because Aliquo per Deum mandato, omnia ea mandantur, ne quibus illud mandatum commode & religiose adimpleri non potest : And therefore those divine, necessarie, and naturall actions before remembred, depending vpon, or proceeding from the powers and faculties of the bodie or mind, being such as without which the outward service of God can not commodioufly, decently, and orderly be accomplished, we afhrme, that they be confirmed vinto vs from generall rules of holy writ.

And this also may serve for an answere, for the having of water, & a vessell to conteyne water; because, as water of necessitie is required to the administration of baptisme, so must also water (we having no waters running through our churches) of necessitie be coteyned in some vessell: but as for making a crosse, or signing the forehead of the child with a crosse in baptisme, because the same by your confession; is no

parte

Certaine Demands with their grounds,

part of Baptisme; And because also the same is not properly and simply any necessary, naturall or divine actio, as without which Baptisme can not be administred; Nay, because it is such an action, as without which Baptisme very decently and orderly may be admissifted : wee pray your Lordinips to refolve vs out of holy Writt, how the Minister may acte the figne in the outward acte of Gods worship: And yet not breake (as earst hath bene said) either the second commandement, by outwardly serving God otherwise then hee hath commanded in his worde, or not violate the thirde commandement, by taking his holy Name in vaine?.

Bishops.

But by your patience, Sirs, this making a croffe upon the childes forehead, and this signing him with a signe of the crosse, in token, oc. though the same be no part of Baptisme, nor any parte of the outward wor ship of God, nor any naturall, nor necessarie action of the body or mind, without which Baptisme can not be administred, yet is the same a solemnitie, of an ornament apperteyning unto Baptisme, by the order of the church, which ought not to be omitted and left undone.

But by your Lordships favour, this your Apologie is of no fresher hue, nor of any deeper dye, then wherewith the great Papistes and fond Canonistes have alwayes bepainted and becouloured their signing, their falting, their spitteling, their oyling, their chrismating, and

their other such like solemnities, according to these verses:

Sal, oleum, chrisma, cereus, chrismate, salina, Flatus, virtutem Baptismatis ista figurant; Hec cum patrinis, non mutant effe, sed ornant.

And therefore we pray your Lordships to resolve vs by some place of holy Writt, whether the Papists and you, or either of you, be inabled to invent, or orderne such solemnities, ornaments, signes & figures of crosses to be ysed in the publique administration of Baptisme, as never once came in the mind of God to have devised or vied? And so much the rather doe wee desire your Lordships resolutions herein, because there is no maner of solemnitie, signe, figure or ornament of a crosse, required by the book of comon prayer, to be vied in that administration of Baptisme, which in the same book, is intituled private Baptisme.

For if Baptisme without any reall solemnitie, or actuall ornament of a crosse, or of the signe of a crosse, may bee administred privately, how much more without these humane solemnities and ornamentes

might baptisme be administred publiquelie?

There

li

b

is

E

CO

WI w

gii

111 for

chi

net

cro

the

ofa

flyin

vetl

ofa

or a

Gentl.

There being then in the Church of England, two formes & maners of the administration of Baptisme, the one private, the other publike; the one with a crosse, the other without a crosse, and both of these concluded by your late Canons, not to be corrupt, superstitious, or vnlawfull, by meaners also whereof there is to be seene no coformitie, or vniformitie between having solemnities & ornaments of crosses, & signes of crosses in publike baptisme, & between the not having solemnities and ornaments of crosses, and signes of crosses in private baptisme: we pray your Ll. to resolve vs out of holy writ; whether these ornaments & solemnities of crosses, & signes of crosses, for the stability of publike peace & quiet in the church, & the not enforcing of any mans conscience with the vse of the crosse, might not aswell be left out in the administratio of publike, as it is in the administratio of private baptisme?

For whether respect be had to the brethren offended, or made weake by the vse therof, or whether we regard the not contining & strenghtening of the superstitious in their error, there is fart much more danger like to ensue, both to the one sorte & to the other, by the vse of it, in publike, then in private Baptisme, by reason that the assembly generally

is evermore great in the one, and never but small in the other.

of

CI

ch

fc

le,

ti-

ne.

ent

ly,

tes

erc

But to let passe this deformitie of crossing & not crossing, in publik & private baptisme, we will prosecute some few demands, not yet moved, concerning the feigned imaginarie and ayrie crossing, ysed in publike Baptisme. And first, we pray your Lordships to resolve vs out of holy writ, how a Minister, in foro conscientia, can be cleare & without sinne, when as in the same publike & continewed act of Gods worship, imaginarily & feignedly he shal affirme the doing of a thing, weh indeed & in trueth he doth not, ne possibly can do? if the Minister then without some instrumer, chalk, rudle or such like, can make no crosse you the childs forehead, and yet shall say (as the booke prescribeth) that he signeth him with the signe of the crosse, what a grosse fitten call ye that?

To affirme that the passing of the Ministers hand, thume or finger, croswise in the ayre over the childs forehead, is to make a crosse vpon the childs forehead, & that the same passing in the ayre, is also the signe of a crosse, this (we say) to affirme, is as if a man should assevere, that the slying of a bird in the ayre, & the slyding of a serpent vpon a stone, leaveth a bird, and the signe of a bird in the ayre; a serpent, and the signe of a serpent, vpon a stone: And to say that there is an invisible crosse, or a signe of an invisible signe of a crosse, remaining in the ayre over

E 2

the

6 Certaine Demands with their grounds,

the childes forehead, how abfurd, and without all reason that saying

is, we leave to your Lordships considerations.

For if it be unpossible to make a crosse, or the signe of a crosse, upon the running water, much more unpossible is it to make a crosse, or the signe of a crosse, in the subtile and replenishing ayre: wherefore unlesse your Lord hips can prove by some rule of holy Writt, that every Minister is indowed with power from above, to make crosses, and signes of crosses, which be invisible, and that these invisible signes and crosses be also invisible tokens in the childes forehead, of his being not ashamed of Christ crucified, of his manfull fighting, &c. we demand, what good plea in foro conscientia, your Lordships can frame to dischardge the Minister from being guilty of a thrice feigned, imaginary & lying crosse? we say, thrice faigned at the least.

First, we receive and doe signe thee: secondly, with the signe; and thirdly, of the Crosse. And what, if we saide fourthly, in token? for what token can the child, or his witnesse, in his name receive & keepe, when as neither he, nor they, have any crosse, any signe, or any token of a crosse given or delivered vnto them at all? which maner of crossing, signing and tokening, may well bee compared to our atturnies proceeding by signes and profers, signes and profers; when indeed by such their pleadings they hasten not, but delay their clyents causes, only making signes and profers, signes and profers, as though by this meanes they hastened to an end, when as indeed they mind no

thing leffe.

But to speake no more of the Ministers feigned signes and profers of making crosses & signes of crosses in the ayre, vpon or over the childs forehead; Wee demand? whether not to be ashamed to confesse the faith of Christ crucissed; whether not to be ashamed manfully to sight visier his banner, against sinne, the world and the divell; whether not to be ashamed to continewe Christes faithfull souldier and servant to his lifes end? We demand (we say) whether these three graces, be not all, & every of them, inward, invisible and spirituall graces, yea or no?

If your Lordships answere, (as the trueth is) that these graces be all spiritual, invisible & inward graces, then because by the book of common prayer (so the Minister make a crosse) it is not materiall of what forme the crosse be made: we demand, by what rules of holy writ, your Lordships can prove, that the signe of the crosse of saint lohns of Ierusalem, the signe of saint Andrews crosse, the signe of saint Peters crosse,

the

the figne of the croffe made after the Greeke T. or like the Hebrew T. or like the Greeke x. or the figne of any other croffe, (for there be divers other formes of croffes) can be a token of these spirituall and invisible graces, if so be they were engraven with a Diamond upon the childes forehead.

If your Lord hips answere aftirmatively, that the signe of faint Andrewes croffe, of faint Johns croffe, of faint Peters croffe, or the figne of any other crosse, made by the Minister vpon the childes forehead, is a token of these inward, spiritual and invisible graces; then we demand, whether your Lordships ascribe not like power to every minister, for the trafubstantiation of every invisible crosse, feinedly made in the administration of Baptisme, to the forme of a true & visible crosse, as the Pope attributeth to every Prieste, for the transubstantiation of every piece of bread, wherevoon he bloweth at the Altar, into the Body of Christ? or if your Lordships answere (because there is no crosse sensibly to be seene, but intelligiblie to be vnderstood) that the imaginary feigned & ayrie crosse is a token of these invisible & spirituall graces; then againe we pray your Lordships to resolve vs out of holy Writt, whether God did ever-ordeine any invitible fignes, to bee invitible tokens, of invilible graces, yea or no?

And if God did never ordeine fuch fignes; then we demand, what

authoritie man hath to ordeine fuch fignes?

But if your Lordships shall answere negatively, viz: that the invisible signe of the crosse, imaginarily pretended to bee made by the Minister, is not a token of these inward and spirituall graces; then wee demande, whether your Lordships with your owne mouthes pronounce not that thing to be falfe, which the booke of common prayer commandeth every Minister, with his lips to proclaime to be true?

But Sirs, by your leave, the Church hath power from Christ to orderne rites and ceremonies, and the Magistrate may command that all things be done decently and according to order, in the time of prayer and admi-

nistration of Sacrame nts.

Yea, and so say we too: Nay wee say more, that the Christian Ma- Gentl. giftrate knowing any thing to be done undecently and unorderly, shall finne against God, if by his authority, he take not order, for reformation of such disorder; but by your Lordships favour, the question is not whether the Church may ordeine Rites and Ceremonies, or whether the Christian Magistrate may command such things, as by the Apo-

Bb.

38 Certaine Demands with their grounds.

ftles doctrine are to be done, that the same be done decently and orderly: but the question is, whether all rites and ceremonies indefinitlie, and without limitation approved by the Church and commaunded by the Magistrate to bee decent, and to be in order, be in deed and
without contradiction absolutely decent, and absolutely in order? And
for this cause and in this regarde only, both by ministers and people,
without any search or inquisition of their agreeablenes with holy write,
absolutely to be received, as if the same by some holy oracle, were immediatly sent vnto them from God?

For be it supposed, that some rites and ceremonies ordeyned by the Church and commaunded by the Christian Magistrate, be of the nature of things either violantul, or inexpedient, or that they be vaine, idle, vinecessarie and viprositable things: in these cases, we pray your Lordships to resolve vs out of holy Writt, whether the Apostles rule of having all things to be done decently and according to order in the Church cease not? For how should those things be done decently and ofderly in the Church for the which the Church hath no warrant,

that the same should be done at all?

The Apostle then having taught the Corinthians, that the thinges which he wrote, were the commaundements of the Lord, and concluding that all things treated of by him in the former partes of that chapter, touching the right vse of spirituall giftes, are to bee done decently and in order, we demaund, whether your Lordships from this apostolicall rule can religiouslie conclude any otherwise then thus?

All the commaundements and all the spirituall giftes of the Lord, are to be done and vsed in the Church decently and orderly.

But the wearing of a Surplice, in tyme of prayer and administration of Sacramentes, the making of a Crosse upon the childes forehead, &c. And kneeling in the act of receyving bread and wine at the Lordes Table, bee spirituall giftes, or at least wife be the commaundements of the Lord:

Therefore these things are to be done decently and in order.

But now if your Lordships beeing not able to strengthen your minor proposition by any place of holy Writt, shall make the same answere which the Romanistes frame in all like cases, for the Authoritie of their church, namely: that the Ministers, and people, ought precifely

Cor. 14.

Drawne out of holy Writt. selie to observe and doethese thinges, if not propter Authoritatem Apostolicam, yet propter authoritatem Ecclesia, seu Christiani Magistratus sic statuentis: Then doe your Lord hips but as they doe, even runne from the Rock, and buyld vpon the Sand; yea and besides, slee from the poynte in question: making the Church, and Christian Magistrate not only to be preservers, but commanders of all Evangelicall decencie and order, And then might not this confequation, and conclusion infallibly be true?

What soever thinges by the Church, with consent of the Christian Magistrate, be, or shalbe appointed, for Evangelicall decencie and order in the Church: the same without all exception, and challendge of any undecencie, or disorder, must and ought to be receyved of every member of the Church, for decent and order-

lie thinges:

But all such and such things by the Church, with consent of the Christian Magistrate be appointed, &c.

Therefore all such and such things, must & ought, without all ex-

ception, be receyved, &c.

Yea, Sirs: and is not this a good consequution, and doeth not this conclusion necessarilie followe? For who shall be Indge of Evangelicall decencie and order, if not the Church and Christian Magistrate alone?

Before we answere vnto this question, wee denie your proposition, Gentl. because the same seemeth vnto vs to be rather an inversion, then a true conversion of the Apostles rule, viz.

Let all things be done decently and in order.

The meaning whereof, according to the Analogie of the place, we take to be this, namely: that all thinges, or what soever thinges are to be done in the Church, that the same thinges ought to bee done decently and in order:

But what agreement, we pray you, hath this rule with your proposition? or what coherence hath your proposition with this rule? for by what arte can you frame this your proposition vpon the Apostles

foundation?

This your proposition (wee saye) namely all things, or whatsoever things the Church with consent of the Christian Magistrate shall authorize for decencie and order, the same are all decent and orderly things.

Indeed,

1 Cor,14.40

40 Certaine Demands with their grounds,

Indeed, if this your propositio could be proved true by any doctrine drawne from any other place of holy Writt, we would easilie graunt, the church with consent of the christian Magistrate having commanded Copes, Surplices, Crosses, kneelings, &c. to be vsed in the church, that this the Apostles rule did binde all those to kneele, to make crosses, and to weare Copes, and Surplices, not vngaynely, disorderly or slovenly, but comely, hansomelý, and netely; but seeing your proposition is false, and can not by any other place of holy scripture be proved true, you have drawne in the Apostles rule, as it were by the hayre, and pluckt it in, as it were by the heeles, to a wrong purpose, and for-

ced it to a wronge sense.

Furthermore, because these wordes decencie and order, mencioned in your proposition, carrie a double sense, we pray your Lordships to resolve vs, whether by these wordes decencie & order, you meane simplie fuch a decencie, and fuch an order, as for the allowance whereof, playne and evident testimonies may be founde in holy Writt, that the fame decencie and order is pleafing vnto God? or whether you meane fuch a decencie and order only, as for the which (we having no other warrant, then tradition or commaundment of man) is only pleasinge vnto man? touching which latter kinde of decencie and order, the fame being but humane, we demaund, if either Ministers of people bee not fully perswaded in minde, that this humane decencie and order, is by some generall rule of holy Writt, aswell pleasing vnto God; as the fame by some law of man, is knowne to be well pleasing vnto man: we demaund (we fay) in this case whether Minister or people, in foro conscientia, be obliged to vse this so called and commaunded decencie or order, yea or no? For albeit every soule be subject to the higher powers; neverthelesse, for the avoyding of error, and that the conscience of every foule may be vpright with God, in her subjection, to the ordinance of man: the conscience must take direction, not from the onely will and authoritie of man, but from the only Writt and authoritie of God. And therefore as every Magistrate, ought to be fully perswaded in his conscience of his commaund, before he conscionably may require, that to be obeyed which he commaundeth : even so it behoveth every soule, before he obey, to be perswaded fully in his minde, that the thing comanded, ought for conscience sake, to be obeyed, because the same is approved by the holy word of God: For otherwise howsoever outwardly he may serve, and please the Magistrate, yet inwardly &

111

Ь

CC

CC

412

eve

die

Wh

this

eve

not

vnle

Wri

ded,

give

eth,

goo

ted l

Viito

in the spirite, he can never be assured that in this case, he shal serve God: because the Magistrates commandement simply considered in it self, is not any true spirituall guide, for the conscience of any soule, to be ledd and conducted by.

Now then to your Lordships former question, whether the Church and supreme christian Magistrate, ought not to sitt, as soveraigne Judge, vpon the conscience of every soule, about the determination of all decencie and order to be kept by that soule, your Lordships by this our discourse, easily may perceave, that we may well answere your demand,

if we propound but one question to another.

And therefore we demaind, who shalbe sovereigne Judge over that soule and coscience, that commandeth decencie & order in the church, if not only the holy word of God alone? And if the christian Magistrate must for the safetie of his soule, have some rule of holy writt, to be his soveraigne Judge, for the thing that he commandeth; how should not the christia subject in like forte for the safetie of his soule, have some word of faith, to be his sovereigne Judge, for his subjection in the thing which he obeyeth? for so shall not the conscience of him that commandeth, be any more a sovereigne Judge over him that obeyeth, then shall the conscience of him that obeyeth, bee sovereigne Judge over him that commandeth.

But, Sirs, if this be so, what soverainitie doe ye yeeld unto the Christian Magistrate, above the subject, or what shall his authority avayle, when every soule commanded to be subject to his power, shall examine the validitie of the lawfulnesse of his command? shall not every rule of holy writ, whereupon the christian Magistrate doeth ground his conscience, for the thing commanded, he a good and sufficient ground for the conscience of

every one, in the thing that is obeyed?

Vinto this we answer; that the Christian Magistrates conscience can not be any sufficient discharge for the conscience of him that obeyeth, villesse your Lordships bee able to prove vinto vs by some place of holy Writ, that the Magistrate touching the lawfulnes of the thing commanded, can not erre. For every soule, as well of the subject, must answere & give an accompt for him selfe vinto God, for the thing wherein he obeyeth, as the Magistrate must for the thing which he comandeth; for the good and rightfull obedience, and command whereof, he hath appointed his holy word alone, to be the only sudge as well vinto the subject, as vinto the Magistrate: or ells what soverainitie should the word of God beare

Bb.

Gentl.

Certaine Demands With their grounds,

Rom. 13.

beare over the coscience of a subject, if so be the Magistrates conscience & command should be the foveraigne ludge over the subjects soule, & fway the subjects conscience? And yet by this meanes doe we not take away the due preeminence and authoritie, which by the holy Worde of God is given to the civill Magistrate, over the subject: For in that, that every foule is commanded to be subject, for conscience sake, to the higher powers, this scripture by your Lord hips patience (as we take it) is to be understood, not for the conscience of him that comandeth, but for the conscience of him that obeyeth: because the subject, without the breach of his conscience, can not contemne the civill government, which God hath appointed, not to be over the foule, but to be over the body. And indeed to establish the command or conscience of the civill Magistrate, to be the squire & plumet of every subjects conscience, were to wrest the holy Scriptures, & to establish (as the Antichristianites do) a tyranny over the consciences of subjects: Thus much touching that later kind of humane decencie and order before spoken of.

And as concerning that kind of decency & order for the which there may be testimonies found in holy Writ, that they be pleasing vnto God: we affirme that the church and Christian Magistrate ought not only to be commanders and preservers of the same, but that both Ministers and

people also ought to be followers and embracers thereof.

Nay, we fay more, yea we affirme, that every humane decencie & order whatfoever ought to stoupe and give place vnto that order and decencie for the which testimonies are to be found in holy writ, that the same be pleasing vntoGod, And therfore we demand, by what rule of holy writ, your Lordships can prove your late convocationall canons, to have allowance from the spirit of Christ, when as by the same ye have comanded a decencie & order, meerly humane precifely to be observed in the church, insteed of that decencie & order which at the beginning was in the church & which being pleasing vnto God could not be but divine?

It had bene (in our judgments) a fact not simply comendable, if your Lord hips had but invented and added an humane decencie and order vinto that which hath warrant from holy writt, to be divine: but wholy to disfey se the Church of that decencie and order, which by testimony of holy Writt, is witnessed to bee pleasing vnto God; and to give your owne devises, decencies and orders, liveric and seyfin this to doe, we demand, whether, in foro conscientia, it be not a double sinne, and in curia

Christianitatis, deserve not a double censure ?

But

th

yo

kn

VS (

the

Ap

and

at t

one

fitie.

min only

Drawne out of hely Writt. But, Sirs, by your patience, what is that Divine order, and what is that Bishops. Divine decencie, whereof we have disjey sed the Churches, and what decencie and order, of our oline, have we put in seysin thereof? By your Lordings favour, before we make full answer unto this your Gentl. quettion; we demand, whether your Lordships bee able to prove out of holy Writt, that the outward forme and maner of acting any fervice vnto God, by our Saviour Christ or his Apostles in prayer, preaching the word & administring the Sacraments, was an ynorderly, or an vndecent forme or maner of acting that service before God, yea or no? If your Lord thips answere, as the trueth is, that the outward maner of acting every kind of fervice by our Saviour Christ & his Apostles, was to decently & orderly done, and to acceptable vnto God, as nothing in the outward acting thereof could be more, wee then pray your Lordthips to refolve vs first, what warrant you have out of holy writt, to difleyle all the Ministers of the outward acting of the whole worship of God in prayer and administring the Sacraments, without a Surplice? Secondly, what warrant you have from the spirit of Christ, to disleyse all the Ministers of the acting of some part of Gods worship, in the administration of publike Baptisme, without making a crosse vpon the childes forehead, and ligning him with the ligne of the crolle? Lastly, what approbation you have from the spirit of Christ, wholy to diffey fe all the sonnes and daughters of God, of the acte of sitting in the acte of receiving the Sacrament of the Lordes Supper? For in that you have commanded that no Minister, when he celebrateth the communio, thall wittingly administer the same to any but to such as kneel, you have, by confequence, inhibited every one that fitteth, to receive the same. And thus to establish your owne humane decencie and order of kneeling, in the acte of receiving the communion, you have diffeyfed vs of that Enangelicall decencie and order of fitting, warranted vnto the Ministers and vnto vs by the example of our Saviour Christ and his Mar. 14. Apostles, whe as at his last Supper, he him felf delivered both the Bread and the Wine vnto his Apoleles, as they late, and not as they kneeled at the Table: whereby it appeareth that you looked not vnto the holy Ifa,21.1.2 one of Ifraell, nor lought vnto the Lord; and he yet is wifelt. But. Sirs, by your patience, it is not required as a thing of meere necef-Bb. fitie, as though without kneeling the Sacrament might not lawfully be ministred and received at all, but for reverence and conformities sake only, it is commanded that the people (hould kneele. Why

r

e

1-

in

. ?

ur

er

ly

ny

ur

le-

ria

But

Certaine Demands With their grounds,

Gentl.

Levi. 18. 3. 19.27.

2. Cor. 6.14

15.10.

Why then, by your Lordships favour, we demand, vnto whom this reverence is yealded, and vnto what thing this conformitie is proportioned? for that this forme of kneeling is not conformed to the Apostles maner of fitting at the table, is evident, nether can it be denyed, but that this kinde of reverence is fashioned like to that naner of reverence, which every catholicke fornicatour, by the ordinance of his love the great whore, doeth yealde vnto his Idoll, when he received his breaden god: where fore we pray your Lordships to resolve vs out of holy Writt, whether your conformitie, and your reverence of kneeling (in the acte of receiving the Sacrament of the Lordes Supper) may not rightly be condemned, as things not having any due reverence, conformitie or proportion with the written Law of God, or example of our Saviour

Christ and his Apostles before mencioned?

Besides, we demand, whether your Lordships had not worthely deferved to have bene much praised and commended by all the churches, for that thanks might have bene given by many vnto God, for you, if so be for reverence vnto the example of our Saviour Christ, who did all things well, and conformitie to his Apostles, ye had commanded the people not to have kneeled in the acte of receiving after the fashion of the catholicke fornicatours, who doe all things ill, but rather to have fitten after the maner of the Apostles? especially sithence between this maner prescribed of receiving the Sacrament of the Lordes Supper kneeling, & the maner of the receiving the Sacrament of Baptiline, year and the word it felfe, fitting or standing, and not kneeling, there can be found no maner of conformitie at all; And yet as we deny not (when we come to the Font, or to the Sermon, both before, & after the Word preached and Baptisme administred) but that we ought reverently and vniformely to kneele in prayer & thankefgiving prescribed by the booke. Even so also, we acknowledge, when we come to the Lords Table, that before and after the deliverie and receiving of the Sacrament, we ought to confelle our finnes, to pray and to give thankes, reverently and vniformely kneeling vpon our knees: But that we should more kneele in the very acte of receiving Bread and Wine, at the Ministers hand, at the Lords Table, then in the name of our children, in the very acte of our childrens receiving Water vpon their faces, or being dipt in Water, wee should kneele at the Font, in the time of Baptisine; we for our partes must confesse, that as yet this mysterie of conformitie and reverence, to kneele in the acte of receiving the communion, is hidden from vs: because

because we see no more needfulnesse of reverence and conformitie for kneeling, to be in the acte of receiving the one Sacrament, then to be in the act of receiving the other: nor that there is any greater reverence, or conformitie of kneeling to bee yled, when the Minister breaketh bread for our bodyes, which perisheth at the communion Table, then when he breaketh the bread of life for our foules, which perisheth not in the Pulpit.

Nay, furthermore what conformitie is there prescribed in the booke of common prayer; when in one & the same church, and in one & the fame act of receiving the Lords Supper, it permitteth the Minister to receive standing, and prescribeth the people to receive kneeling? or what greater reverence is there required by the sheepe, then is to be performed by the theepheard, who thould be an example to the flocke?

The words of the book for the Ministers standing, when he receiveth the communion, seeme vnto vs to bee so perspicuous and without all maner of ambiguitie, as without an absurditie they can not bee conftrued to command a Minister to kneele; for the maner how the Minister of the place, or how other Ministers, if any be present to helpe him, should receive, is not prescribed at all, vnlesse it be prescribed, that he and they, should receive standing.

Nay, how foever in the same book it be said, that the Minister shall deliver the communion in both kindes to the people in their hands kneeling, neverthelesse it followeth not herevpon, (the law being not in the negative, but in the affirmative) that the Minister may not deliver the communion to the people standing or litting, or that the people be ex-

actly bound not to stand or to sut, but to kneele.

C

u

n

10

11

es

c,

5:

(e

Besides, because by the booke of common prayer of the seconde of Edw. 6: wherevnto only (as we take it) touching ornaments, rites, and ceremonies our booke hath reference (which booke also of Edw. the 6. by the repeale made of the statute of primo of QueeneMary, in the first session of this last Parliament is revived) because (we say) by this booke of common prayer of the second of Edw.6. kneeling, crossing, holding vp of handes, knocking vpon the breafte, and other gestures are to bee vsed, at least, as every mans devotion serveth, without blame: We pray your Lordships to suffer vs without blame, and danger of your late canons, peaceably, and quietly to enjoy and possesse our libertie, That so we may receive the communion, fitting, standing, or kneeling, as everie mans devotion serveth. F 3

And

Certaine Demands with their grounds, 46

And thus much for our full and perfect answere vnto your questions of diffeyling the church of her evangelicall decencie and order, and of reverence and conformitie, in kneeling in the act of receyving the comunion; wherevpon we most instantly pray your Lordships, that you would be well pleased upon your second thoughtes, to heale your former errours; And withall to be content, not only to suppresse the vie of your Copes, Surplices, making of Croffes, figning with Croffes, tokening with Croffes, kneeling,&c.

But also to restore our possession, and to give vs livery and seysin of all those decencies, conformities, and reverend maner of prayer, preaching the word, receiving and administring the Sacramentes (without Copes, Surplices, Crosses, and kneelings) whereof the Charches were feyfed in the Apostles tymes: And which being moderated by the wifdome and authoritie of our Savious Christ, we are most assured, can not

be but well-pleasing vnto God!

But, Sirs, by your patience, our Christian Magistrate imposeth them. as matters of order, according to that forme, wherein he found the church at his coming, and this his pleasure must commaund, or may satisfie vs; if it should please the King not to commaund them, wee, for our partes.

could be well content not to vrge them.

See, fee, what a dalliance is this in a matter of so high a qualitie, and touching the dignitie, & preheminence of so excellent a person; what? was your melody yesterday, and that in the presence of the King, and affemblie of his Nobles, was your melody but yesterday (we say) viz. No ceremonie, no Bishop: no Bishop, no King ? and can your Lordships to day, fitting Indicially in your Confiftory (to cenfure the Ministers for not conformitie) thus fudenly change your note, and cry openly; No commaundement of ceremonies by the King; no imposition of ceremonies by the Bishop? For is it not? as if you should have layde the whole blame vpon the King, and have spoken thus: No ceremonious King, no ceremonious Bishop? And yet for all this, do not all men know, that your Lordthips were too too ceremonious, and too too great masters of

And how then cometh it to palle ceremonies having hitherto ben vpheld by your Lordlines) that your Lordlines should not now stande but by ceremonies? or how cometh it to passe (your Lordlines heretofore being propped vp by the crowne and scepter of our late Queene that your Lordlines thould now vphold the scepter & crowne of our Chri-

ceremonies, before ever the King law your faces?

flian King?

Bb.

Contl.

Lord Bishop of Lincolne

This your Lordhips gradation therefore, made upon the first day from the not being of a Ceremonie, to the not being of a Bishop, to the not being of a King: And this your protestation made upon the next day, of the being of a Kinge, to the being of a ceremonie, what ells doeth the one and the other argue and importe, but a seare and a suspition (if the King should once commande downe your lawes of Ceremonies) that the Lawes of your Lordlinesse likewise would of them selves, sone after fall to the ground. And therefore whether the King did conceive, that you might but glose and flatter with your gradation, or whether poore, simple and playne meaning men might be seduced by your protestation, we know not, neither is it our purpose to enquire.

Only we can not but much marveile, that each of you professing him selfe to be a Gad, and to be a Nathan to be a Seer, and to be a Prophet synto our christian Magistrate, should thus protest, & thus chardge the King, to be the only spirit, by whom your selves doe speake, and the

only prophet from whom your felves doe learne.

Nay, what a thinge is this? your Lord hips prophelying vnto the King, in the name of the Lord; That your rites and ceremonies, are no way contrariant, or repugnant, but every way agreeable and confonant to the holy word of God, and meete to be reteyned, as well for the manner and forme of Gods worthip, as for edification of the church, should not with standing openly arow the Kings pleasure, and the Kings command, to be the fountage and welfpring of your prophelies?

Nay, which is more, your felves, making for your felves Ceremonious bornes of Iron, & affaring the king, that with the same he should be able to overthrowe and push downe al such as by your Lordships be fallely called puritanes, What a thing is this, that you should notwithstanding, proclayme the kings minde, to be, as it were, the only mould

wherein your ceremonies were cast?

Nay, which is more, What a thing is this? your Lordships assuring to your selves, to bee the chiefest of the Lordes Priestes and Levites, should notwithstanding put the holy Censores into his Maiesties hand, and laye the holy Arcke of the Covenaunt, upon the kinges shoulders, to burne incense, and to beare it, and to carrye it him selfe alone?

Nay, which is more, What a thing is this (your Lordships by a definitive sentence, publickly given, read and divulged in your sicred (so called) Synod, having not only judicially decreed, the lawfulnes of ornaments. naments, rites and ceremonies, but also humbly and professedly desired the same to be contimed by the Kings royal authoritie under the broad Seale of England) that you should notwithstanding, for the execution of your said Decree, call to witnes the Kings commaund, and the Kings pleasure? As though the Kings pleasure and commaund were the only cause, and not the effect of your decree; and not rather your decree the

ouly cause and not the effect of his Highues commaund?

Your second canon, by which the same power is justly (as we cofesse) given to our Sovereigne Lord the King in causes ecclesiasticall, that the godly Kings had among the lewes, will not serve to excuse you, in the making of your canons, if any of them be blame worthie; for the godly Kings of Indah, never had any authoritie to command what ceremonies should be ordered among the lewes: Neither did King David, neither any other of the godly kings of Indah, doe any thing in building of the Temple, in distribution of offices among the Levites, or in any manner services performed to the Lord, but the same was sent vnto them in writing from the hand of the Lord, or by the mouth of

the Prophetes, or by calling of Lott.

What soever authoritie then the godly Kings of Indah had, to command ceremonies once made, to be observed, the same authoritie, and none other in matter of ceremonies, doeth your canon yeald vnto the King: And therfore we beseech your Lordships hereafter to carry such a loyall and conscionable reverence, and estimation, to the honor and dignitie of our Christian King, and the noblenes of his kingly charitie declared by his proclamation, as that hereafter in your consistories and publique seates of sudgement, you would vie conferences, arguments, and perswasions, wayes of love, and gentlenes, workings by elemencie, and weight of reason, to reclayme all that be in the ministerie, to the obedience of the church lawes, according as by the Kings proclamation you are required; rather the by rigour of law, shaking the kings sword, and pressing the kings commandement, to enforce the trembling consciences of your weake brethren, contrarie to the Kings most noble & christian intention plainly vttered by his proclamation.

There be sundrie other poyntes in his Maiesties proclamation, which by your Lordships worthily deserved consideration, before you had begun those violet courses, which some of you have pursued in this case: The peremptory day assigned to the Ministers for their conformitie by the King, being of more authoritie then 1000. of your canonicall ad-

moni-

to

fa

re

th

TIL

m

wh

for

Drawne out of holy Writt. monitions, would have bene fully expired, before you had troubled the Ministers about this matter, otherwise then by conferences, argumentes, perswasions, &c. All Ministers who had incurred no censures of the church or penalties of the law in the Queenes tyme, or fince the Kings raigne, refore the fixth of July 1604. be exempted out of the proclamation; though before the day appointed, they have not conformed them felves, to the orders of the Church. And yet many fuch have you disquieted? The Lawes and orders of the Church remayne very vncerteyne, fo as it is not certeyne, to what lawes and orders established the ministers should conforme them selves. And yet before the day expired, you have vrged some Ministers to coforme them selves to Canons divulged since the Proclamation. The Canon law, is viterly voyd within the Realme, and therefore your oath of Canonicall obedience is of no force, and all your Canonicall admonitions not worth a rush. 5. The old provinciall constitutions, intreat of no such oath, besides they litle or nothing respect any orders, or ceremonies of our Church. To which booke of common prayer the Ministers should subscribe, in that maner and forme, as by the Canon is required, is not decided. If they subscribe to the booke published since the Kings raigne, they may then be called into question, at every sessions & assistes, for vsing an other forme, then is prescribed in the Queenes booke, the same remayning still in force & vnrepealed: if subscription be vrged to the Queenes booke, then may not the Ministers yeeld therevnto, because the Kinge and Bishops have concluded to reforme some things conteyned in the fame, as being repugnant to the holy word of God. Belides, the booke of common prayer of the second of Edward 6. touching ornamentes, rites and ceremonics, and wherevnto for the same respect both the Queenes and the Kings booke hath reference, revived this last Parliament, by disannulling the statute of repeale made the first of Queene Marv. This book, we fay of the 2. of Edw. 6 establishing other ornaments, ch rites and ceremonies, then the former bookes doe, some of those cereemonies also to be vsed, or at least at every mans devotion, and the ornafe: ments after another maner the ours, make vs greatly to stand in doubt, by whether all ceremonies, conteyned in every of these bookes, or but dsome, or which of them, are to be vsed, yea or no. 11-Touching

d

d

n

certaine Demands with their grounds,

25.II.8.

C. 19.

Touching the late Canons, of what authoritie many of them be, may infly be questioned: because some of them seeme vnto vs, to be contrariant or repugnat to the lawes, statutes, & customes of the realme, some to be derogatorie to the Kings prerogative royall, and other some to be repugnant to the holy word of God, and therefore in all these three respects to be voyd canons, by the common law, & statutes of the realme.

Lastly, how soever some ministers might be within the copasse of the proclamation, not conforming them selves, before the last of Noveb. to the lawes established before the 16. of July, neverthelesse the Bishops after the day expired, ought to have atteded the Kings pleasure, by what wayes and meanes, he had determined to take from among the people, all occasions of sectes, divisions and unquietnes, much lesse before the day, should they have attempted any thing, unlesse, as the proclamation requireth them, they had provided meete persons, to bee substitutes, in

the places of those, who wilfully had abandoned their charges.

These things being thus most providently, nobly, and Royally, for the quietnes of the church, set forth by the Kings proclamation, it had bene a parte of very great wisedome and moderation for your Lordships (in our opinions) paciently to have expected the Kings surther direction, before by your vntimely pressing the kinges commandement, authoritie and rigour of his lawes, ye had indevouted the inforcing of their consciences, without perswasions by weight of reason; And so much the rather should your Lordships have done this, that heereby ye might have satisfied, all civil Magistrates, Gentlemen and others of vnderstandinge, that they seeing by your conferences, argumentes, perswasions, love, gentlenes, clemencie, and weight of reason, that the cause which you maintayne, & not that which they impugne, is good, might not in any softe have supported, favoured, or countenanced any factious ministers, in their obstinacie, as by the proclamation they are required.

And yet by your Lordships favour, not to conceale any thing, which hetherto we have (as we thinke) rightly conceaved of the Kinges proclamation, we can not but informe your Lordships, that by the same the King hath more tedered the good estate of the ministers, & of their controversie in hand, then ever was tedred, by any former Prince, since poperie was banished. For when was there in England, before this time, by any kingly proclamation, any conference, argument, perswasion, love, gentlenes, clemencie, & weight of reason, commanded, & rigor of

the

Drawne out of holy Write. the law forbidden to be vsed by the Archbithops & Bishops, for the reclayming of the ministers to the lawes of the church? When were any ministers by publike authoritie, called, as it were, into open field, to it and vpon arguments and weight of reason? Whe was it appointed by publike authoritie, that any ministers should answere, and that Archbishops & Bishops should oppose? that the ministers should be defendants, and the Bishops plaintifes? When was it proclaymed, by any Princes authoritie, that the Archbishops and Bishops, to their vttermost indevours, by argumentes, and weight of reason, should prove vnto the ministers, that the book of comon prayer, bookes of homilies, booke of colecrating Bilhops, Priefts, and Deacons, book of Articles, and other lawes, & orders of the church conteyne nothing, in the whole, or in any parte, disagreeable either in doctrine, or governement to the word of God? All which things by the proclamation, are intended thould be done, which things also if the Archbishops & Bishops have done, the indeed we confesse, the ministers (that have not yeelded to conforme theselves) to be wilfull, & the King to be righteous, for the abandoning of sectes, divisions and vinquietnes, among his people, if he thall allow no factious ministers in their obstinacie. But if the Archbishops and Bishops have not endevoured, much lesse not indevoured to the vttermost of their powers (as by the king they are required) by conferences, arguments, perswasions, love, gentlenes, clemencie, and weight of reason, to reclayme al ministers, to the obedience of the church lawes; then wee can not see, how any civil Magistrates, Gentlemen, or others of vinderstanding, can be intended by the proclamation to be favourers, supporters, or countenancers, of factiousnes, or obstinacie in the ministers, which are not conformable. As for those two and twentie thousande Ministers, if possible there Iudg.7.3. could have bene to many, who, without any conferences, argumentes, periwations, or weight of reason vsed by the Archbithops, or Bilhops, have turned their heeles, and as Gedeons tymerous and fearfull foldiours, have returned and departed early from mount Gilead, before the appointed tyme came, we leave them to the Lord, vnto whom in this cafe they have stood, or fallen. And who is able, to rayle the vp though for a tyme they be downe? Nay, if of the tenne thousande (if possible there were so many) which bee left, there should nine thousande seven-hundered, bowe downe.

S

C

n

11

TO

nd

d-

1-

ıt,

of

fo

ye

of

es,

he

od,

ny

arc

ich

10-

me

eir

nce

me,

011,

rof

the

certaine Demands with their grounds,

downe their knees, to drinke water at the Bilhops poole, and but 300, only should stand up, to lapp water with their tongues, as a dogge lappeth, in that well of water, which springeth to eternal life, yet by these 300, only, is the Lord able to save israell, and to deliver the Medianites into Gedeons hand.

Reve 11.

Nay, if of these 300, but two only shall stand vp, clothed in sackcloth, to be witnesses, yet in the end, shall these two witnesses, bee two Olyve trees, and two Candlestickes standing before God, who governeth the earth, out of whose mouth, if any will hurt them, shall fire proceed and devoure their enemies.

And thus much have we thought necessarie to be spoken, touching our understanding of the Kings pleasure and commaund, declared by his Highnes proclamation; yea this also have we done, not onely in regard of our loyaltie vnto our christian King, but also in regard of the clearing of ourselves from every vniust imputation of being favourers, supporters, or countenancers of factious ministers in their obstinacie, praying your Lordships to cary a favourable construction of these our Demaundes; Because our desire is to be fully satisfied by holy Writt, and not rest our judgementes vpon humane authoritie, especially sithence both your Lord hips and our felves, by the experience of full fiftie yeares, have both heard and feene how unprofitably, and to no good purpose this salve of humane power hath bene applied vnto the church furfeted with drinkings, and earings, of that romish strumpet : yea and for our parts, we can not but be hartily fory, that after folong a time of triall, your Lordships should still remayne so unperswasible, as not once to make experiment of the powring in of some more sweete and medicinable oyle, to this so deadly and desperate a wound : Especiallie, the fore being at this day, as deepe, as noy some, and as full of dead flesh, as the same was the very first moment, that your predecessors began to stoppe the issue thereof, with this kinde of humane tente. And so wee will proceed to our last ground drawne out of holy Writt, for the resolutenes of our judgementes wherevoon, and wherein we yet stand.

The third ground.

Rom. 14. If thy brother be grieved for the meate, now walkest thou not charitably, destroy not him with thy meate, for whom Christ dyed.

om. 14. It is evill for the man, which eateth with offence.

It is good neither to eate flesh, nor to drink wine, nor any other thing, whereby

whereby thy brother stumbleth, or is offended, or made weake.

Let vs not therefore judge one an other any more, but vie your judgment rather in this, that no man put an occasion to fall, or a stumbling
lock before his brother.

From which grounds we demand.

First, whether your Lord hips judge not all godly Ministers & true believers within your jurisdictions, to be your Christian brethren. And whether ye, and they bee not all somes vnto one Father, and servants vnto one Lord?

Whether your Lordships be not of opinion, that the putting, and not putting on of a Surplice, the making & the not making of a crosse vpo the childs forehead in Baptisme, the wearing & not wearing of a square Cap; and kneeling and not kneeling, in the acte of receiving bread and wine at the Lords Table, be not things in their owne nature of like indifferencie, as were the eating, and not eating of meates, drinking and

not drinking of wine, or any thing in the Apostles time?

Whether your Lordships can prove by any place of holy writt, that these rules and precepts given by the Apostles of not greeving a brother for meate; of not walking charatably by eating meate: of not destroying him, for whom Christ died with meate; of not doing evill by eating meate, of not eating or drinking, whereby a brother stumbleth, is offended, or made weake, and of not putting an occasion, to fall before a brother by eating meate. We demand, (we say) whether these the Aposses commandements, in the not doing of all things of like indifferencie, with that of not eating meate, and not drinking wine, do not aswell bind your Lordships at this day, as they did the Bishops, Pastours, Elders and Brethren of that time? And therefore we demand:

If any of your Ll. had bene Archbishop of Ephesus, or of Creete, had bene Bishop of Ierusalem, Rome or Colosse, in the Apostles time: whether vnder the title of order & vnisormitie, or by right of superioritie & power, (you might without breach or cotradiction of the Apostles doctrine) have comanded any brethren, within your charge, being weak in saith, to have eaten meats, forbidde by the law, or facrificed vnto Idols?

Againe, if any of your Lordships in the Apostles time, had bene an Archbishop or a Bishop, and by your Archiepiscopall, or Episcopall authoritie, had commaunded the Pastours, Elders and Brethren under your chardge, being weake in faith, by example of the strong in faith,

G 3

and

Gertaine Demands With their grounds, and for conformities sake, to have eaten meates sacrificed vnto Idols, or

forbidden by the law; we demand, whether fuch your commandement

had bene a charitable commandement, yea or no?

Yea, and we demand, whether the same your commandement (vnder what pretence of canonicall obedience, vnitit or conformitie, soever) might have excused the weake in faith, from sinning against Christ, if at your commandment they being not fully perswaded in their minds,

had eaten of these meates doubtingly?

caten flesh, while the world stadeth, rather then he would never have eaten flesh, while the world stadeth, rather then he would greeve or offend his weak brother, or give him an occasion to sinne; we demad how small charitie the men of our age may seeme to have, who be so far fro not greeving & not offending a weak brother, & of not giving him an occasion to sinne, as that not only they content not the selves, by eating flesh themselves, to grieve to offend a weak brother, & to give him an occasion to sinne, but also comand a weak brother to ease that meate, by eating wherof, he can not but fal, but stumble, but grieve, but offend, but sinne, and but destroy him selse? This kind of charitie may deserve vnhappely the name of some Synodal or Provincial charitie, but certes it can neverworthely deserve to be called an Apostolicall charitie.

Bishops.

But, Sirs, you mistake the matter very much, you deceive your selves, & draw not the cases rightly: The vse of Crosses, Copes, Surplices, Cappes, & kneelings are comanded by the christia Magistrates soveraigne authority, only as things indifferet for orders sake, & not that men should be grieved and offended, or that they should fall, stumble, sinne, & destroy them selves:

Gent!.

Touching the christian Magistrates authoritie about ordering rites & ceremonies in the church, we have somewhat at large argued before, which we had thought might have fully satisfied your Lordships, but because it pleaseth you still to presse vs with the same, we must once againe plainly signifie vnto you; that we believe, that your selves do not attribute any more spirituall authoritie vnto the King, to make, constitute and orderine canons, constitutions, tites or ceremonies, then you give vnto him spirituall power to preach the word, administer the Sacraments, and excommunicate: For we believe, that your selves with the residue of the clergie, assembled by the Kings writt, in your convocation, doe chalendge all spirituall power vnto your selves, to make, ordeine and decree all maner of canons, constitutions, ordinances, ornaments, rites and ceremonies in the Church.

Yea,

Yea, and this spiritual power we beleeve, that you pretend to be derived vnto your felves, and the rest of the clergie in the convocation, not from any spiritual power invested in the person of our soveraigne Lord the King, but onely from the spirituall power of our Saviour Christ alone. And this is manifest by your Cxxxix. canon, for so are your words: Who soever shall bereafter affirme that the Sacred Synode of this nation in the name of Christ, and by the Kings authoritie affembled, is not the church of England by representation, let him be excommunicated, &c. These wordes (wee say) of your canon, viz. Sacred Synod is a fembled in the name of Christ, and by authoritie of the King, plainely tellific, that you hold your Synode not to be affembled by authoritie of the King, in the name of the King, or in the name of Christ and the King, but only in the name of Christ: And therefore by your owne wordes, wee are inforced to beleeve that you attribute vnto the King none other authoritie, then such as you attribute to the godly Kinges of Judah: namely an authoritie to assemble and command your persons, as they assembled and commanded the persons of the Priestes. What? to execute the rites and ceremonies of the Kinges of Indah? No: but to execute the rites and ceremonies of God.

And so we believe your persons being assembled by authoritie and commandement of the King, that your felves by your owne authoritie, and that in the name and by the power of Christ, and not in the name and power of the King, doe ordeine, decree and make canons, constitutions, rites and ceremonies, as if they were fent vnto vs, by your handes. from God. And thus much doe the very first words of your first canon, and some words in your 140.141. canons clearly prove: For howsoever in the title of your book, you vie these words: Constitutions and Canons

treated and agreed upon, by the Bishop of London, &c.

u

h

Yet both in the proheme & body of your first cano, you affirme as followeth. In your proheme or title you fay thus: the Kings supremacy over the church of Englad in causes ecclesiastical, you say not over the church &causes, but over the church in causes: and in the body of the same cano you say, we first decree & ordeine, that the Archb. of Canterbary, &c. and in the Cxl. canon your words are these: Whosoever shall affime, that no maner of person, &c. are to be subject to the decrees therof (speaking of the Synod ecclesiasticall) made & ratified by the kings Maiesties supreame authority, &c. let him be, &c. your words also in your last cano, are these, Whosoever shal hereafter affirm, &c. that the sacred Sinod assembled, &c.

GE Certaine Demands with their grounds,

was a company of persons, &c. in making canons & costitutions in causes ecclesiasticall, &c. ought to be despised, &c. the same being ratified, confirmed and iniogned by the regall power, supremacie and authoritie, lett them be excommunicated; Now by all these your owne words and sentences, we decree & ordeine: decrees of the Synod, making canons by the facred Synod; ratified, confirmed, intoyned by the regall power, &c. by all these words (we say) we can not but beleeve, that you chalendge your ecclesiasticall power of ordeining, decreeing, & making decrees, canons, constitutions, rites and ceremonies, to be invested in your owne persons allembled by authoritie of the King, from the authoritie and power of Christ; and not from any spirituall or ecclesiastical power, invested in the person of the King, and derived, and conveighed vnto your persons affembled by his regall writt, For then how should we not believe also, but that you judged the Kings writt, to be no civil, but an ecclefiasticall and spirituall writt. But how soever (as yet) wee beleeve not this latter, yet still we beleeve, that you appropriate vitto your owne persons affembled by the Kings writt, a fole power, and that from our Saviour Christ, to give vnto your decrees, canons, constitutions, rites and ceremonies, their hist being and their first birthright; and that you assigne vnto the King none other power, but a power of their after birth, & of ratifying and confirming of that life, & being, which your persons have first put into them: So that the King is in this, but as it were an executioner of your canons, and not you the executioners of the Kings decrees. Yea & thus much M. Doctor Bilfon, in his Book intituled, True difference, besweene Christian subjection, & unchristian rebellion, Pag. 243. avoweth & testifieth, whose words are these; We never faid that Princes had any Pirituall power, it is a false collection of yours, it is no part of our cofession, o the (word which they bear, we never called but external o teporall.

Againe to devise new rites and ceremonies for the Church, is not the Princes vocation, but to receive and allow such as the Scriptures and canons commend, and such as the Bishops & Pastours of the place shall advise, not infringing the Scriptures or canons, of so for all other ecclesiasticall things & causes, Princes be neither the devisors nor directors of them, but the confirmers and establishers of that which is good, and displacers, and revengers of that which is evill, which power we say they have in all things, be they spirituall, ecclesiasticall or temporall. ibid. pag. 252.

Yea, and moreover we beleeve, if any should impugne or gaine-say, this your power of inaking spiritual and ecclesiastical decrees, in your

convoca-

convocatio, not to belong properly to your ministerial power which you have by your divine offices in the church, that you would then fly for the interpretation of the statute : 1. Elizab. to our late Queenes iniunctions; Title, adwherby it is declared that nothing was, is, or shalbe meant, or inteded by monition the oath required, to have any other duety allegeance, or band, then was to simple acknowledged to be due to the most noble Kinges, of famous memorie K. H.the eight hir Maiesties Father, or to K. Ed. 6. hir Maiesties brother: And that the Kings and Queenes of this Realme, may not challendge authoririe and power of any ministery of divine offices in the Churches.

But ynder God to have loveraintie & rule of all maner of persons borne within hir Realmes, fo'as no other foreigne power, shall or ought to have any superioritie over them; vpon this injunction (we say) we build our former credence, that your Lordships judge your only persons, and the persons of the rest of the Clergie, & not the ministerie of your divine offices, or any parte thereof, to be under the obedience of the Kings power; vnto which ministerie also of divine offices, you attribute right of ordeyning and making canons, rites and ceremonies in the Church, as a right properly incident and appertaining to that ministery, not of doctrine, but of divine governement, which you challendge to be due vnto you, as vnto Ministers and Officers appointed to rule and governe the Church vinder Christ, by the holy Scriptures; And therefore we pray your Lordships henceforwards to put vs vnto no more paine, to answere this your argument, of Kingly and supreame authoritie.

For we believe affiredly, if Archbishops, Bishops, Deanes, Archdeacons and the rest of the clergie, assembled by the Kings writt, by their deliberate counfaile, voluntary and vinconstrayined will, had not in their Synod decreed the vie of Copes, Surplices, Crofles, Iquare Cappes, Tippets, and such trash, or if they had not by the president of their assembly, most instantly supplicated the King to command the vie of these things, thus orderned by them felves: we perfwade our felves affuredly, that the King by his appointe, regall and supreame power, would never have constrayned, Archbishops, Bishops, Deanes, Archdeacons, &c. to have vndergone the yoke of these ceremonies; for the King right well knoweth, that in so doing, he should not have walked in the wayes of the Godly kings of Indah, then whose holy example to followe, his Highnes hath protested,

to defire nothing more.

C

it

e-

h

ny

11.

he

1-

d-

ti-

m, rs,

all

ay,

our ca-

Neither for any other purpole, as it seemeth vnto vs; by your late speaches in the eares of the King (no ceremony, no Bishop) doe your selves

Certaine Demands with their grounds,

presse these ceremonies, then that they should be in your owne hands, as crosser staves, to stay up from falling the tottering walles of your Lordly Iurisdictions. And yet because we never read in holy Writt, that any ceremony was ever planted by our heavenly Father, to bee a leanetoo, for any Bilhops of Gods planting, or that the unplanting of an humane ceremony, would be the unplanting of any of Gods Bishops, what should we gather from hence, but that your Lordships bee no Bishops of Gods planting; for Bishops planted by the hande of God, in the Vineyard of Christ, be so fast sett, and so deeply rooted, that by no blast or storme of

humane ceremonies, they can be blowne vp.

And thus much touching your argument of the Kings comandement, for orders fake; As for the indifferencie of the things commanded, the fame hath already bene handled in an other place; but touching the last part of your exception, that the vie of these things is not commanded, to the end, that men should be grieved, offended, fall, stumble, sinne, & destroy them selves; we reject this, as an impertinent & insufficient answere to our demand; for our demand tendeth not to infinuate, that we think, that these things be commanded to the end, that every man by the vse of them, should sinne, but our demand concerneth the vncharitable commandement, of the vie of thele things imposed upon a weake brother, which weake brother, by the vic of these things (notwithstanding the commandement) can not but full, but stumble, but give an offence, but sinne. What? because of the commandement? No: but by reason of his weakenes, which the commandement hath not power to ridd, and take from him. And therefore vales your Lordinips by some learning taught you in holy Writt, can informe your selves, & our Christian Magistrate, that your Synode in the Apostles absence; is placed in the Apostolike chaire, and being so placed, hath also received by the Apostles doctrine, an Apostolike authoritie, to commande those thinges in his absence, which he expressly forbade in his presence, wee doubt greatly whether your convocationall assembly, can charitably by Gods Law command the weake in faith, to eate meare facrificed vnto Idols, and so by confequence to weare a Surplice, a Cope, a square Cap, a shaven Crowne, a Fryers Coule, or a Monckes Hood, yea or no?

1. Cor. 10

Nay, fithence the Apostle hath directly, and in plaine termes forbidden the strong in faith, the eating of meate sacrificed vnto Idolls; because of him that shewed it, and for the conscience, not of thine, but of that other which fayeth, this is facrificed vnto Idols; and if withall, hee

have

F

no

Eb:

Drawne out of boly Writt. have taught, that it is evill, for a man to eate with offence: we demand, by what rule of holy Writt, your Lordships can assure the conscience of our Christian Magistrate, and the soules of your assembly in convocation, that his Highnes and they shall doe charitably, vprightly, and not finne, in the light of the Lord, in case by a commandement, ye impose a necessitie of eating meates, or vsing things of like indifferencie, vpon the weake, which the weake, by the Apostles doctrine have free libertie, nay rather which by the Apostles doctrine they be taught, so longe as they be weake, not to vie. Belides, if eating, drinking, appareling, crofling or kneeling, doubtingly & without faith, or with offence, be finnes against the foule & defilements of the foule, we then pray your Ll. to deale plainly with vs, & divinely to teach vs, whether it be an Apostolike charitie, to comand the doing of a thing which can not be done, either by the weak in faith, but doubtingly; or by the strong in faith without offence, giving an occasion to fall, or putting a thumbling block before his brother? But, Sirs, by your patience, the Christian Magistrate doeth not iniogne, Bishops. neither doeth the Clergie in their convocation decree, that the Ministers or people, should weare a Surplice, make a (rosse, or kneele doubtingly and Without faith, only his commandement is, that they kneele, that they make a crosse, and that they weare a Surplice. Yea, by your Lordships favour, we demand, whether such their doubt- Gentl. IL ing, & such their being without faith, can either be purged by any glister 15 or be dispensed with, by any facultie of humane authoritie? ce ht Yea, and whether any humane dispensation, or purgative receipt, may be of such validitie and operation, in foro conscientia, that it may exempt e, the patient, or the dispenser in foro conscientia from sinne, in case hee ce iudge his apparell, croffings and kneelings, to be vircleane? ie, For though the Christian Magistrate, be perswaded through the Lord Ro. 14.14 c, lefus, that there is nothing vncleane of it felfe, yet to him that judgeth it cr vncleane, to him it is vncleane. And therefore albeit the christian Mand legistrate may not sinne in his commandement, yet may neither Minister nor people, be without finne, in their obedience. , 1 For the conscience only of the comander (be he never so Christian) can not be any warrant for the conscience of him that obeyeth doubtingly. id-But, Sirs, it is their folly, of their wilfull peevishnes, that (having bene so be-Bb. long a time instructed) they have no better profited, in the knowledg of their of christian libertie, & of the cleanes of the things: (by my faith) if they conhee avc

60 Certaine Demands with their grounds,

Gentl.

Ohlmy I ords hand were not amisse to bring some of them to the stake.

Oh!my Lords, bona verba quasumus, soft fire maketh sweet malt, preach faith we beseech your Lordships, but swear not by your faith: For by your Lordships favour, if the Apostle (having aswel by doctrine as by example taught the weak brethren, among the Romans and Corinthians, of their

Rom. 14. Christian libertie, did neither reprove them of peevishnes, wilfulnes, or folly, neither yet condemne their ignorance of Christian libertie to be a

the vic of meates, created for the benefit of mans life; wee defire your Lordships to resolve vs out of holy Writt, whether ignorance of Christian libertie, in the weaks of our time, which consistent about the vic of

an libertie, in the weake of our time, which consisteth about the vse of things dedicated to the service of an Idoll, may be a sinne against Christ,

for not vsing the same, in the worship of the true God?

Besides, we demand, by what rule of holy wtitt your Lordships in these things may condemne an other mans servant, or judge his errour of christian libertie in this case, to bee worthy of punishment? For seeing ye be not able to make him stand, whom God hath left weak, & not inabled to stand. And seeing the Apostle did not prescribe vnto the weake brethren of his time, any time for the amendement of their errour, of the not vse of things indifferent, but did rather bind him self & all other, for all ages to come, by his example, never to eate sless, while the world standeth, that he might not offend his brother, or cause him to fall, or to stumble: The Apostle, we say, having appointed no time, no not while the world stadeth in this case, & all others of the same nature, we demand, by what rule of holy Writt, your Lordships may limite a certaine time, as by the eight, tenth or twelfe moneth for the reformation of judgment, in this but supposed errour, of not vsing Popish apparell, crossings and kneelings?

Nay if by the decree & canons of the Apostle, both Ministers & people, be infranchised, to vse, and not to vse, these things freely & frankly without lett; we then demand, by what rule of holy Writ, it may be lawfull for your Synode, by a provincial ordinance and constitution, to change and to turne this their Christian freedome and libertie, into a meere slavish servitude and necessitie? And therefore we protest from your Synod, and avow, that your Synode in this case, was not guided by the spirite of Christ, and that therevon it followeth your decrees, (so many of them) as abate the edge of charitie, whetted vpon the brethren, by the Apostles rule, or bringing into bondage againe, servants

Cor. 10. thren, by the Apostles rule, or bringing into bondage againe, servants manumissed by the authoritie of Christ, that the same your decrees

are both erroneous in them selves, and injurious to the church of God.

For vilelle the world have bene diffolved fince the Apostles tyme, and that the same world, stand not now, which stood then; or vales the same be not charitie now, and the same freedome now, which the Apostle comended for charitie, and left for freedome; or vales you would have vs. winke with our eyes, least we should see, and stoppe our eares, least wee should heare, and harden our heartes least we should understand, & conlequently have no faith, except it be a Synodall, a Provinciall, or a Canturbury-church faith: we must needes speake both what wee have seene, what we have heard, and what we have understood, out of holy scriptures; Namely that some of your Synodall decrees be opposite & repugnant to the Apostles both christian charitie and christian libertie.

For if it be finne for one brother, to do any acte, by doing whereof an other brothers conscience, is wounded and made weak; if also who soever shall wound the weake conscience, of a brother, and sinne against a brother, doth linne against Christ: then of necessitie be your canons of popilh rites & ceremonies, both vncharitable canons against your brethren, & sinfull canons against Christ. For though it were free for christians to vse Copes, Surplices, square Cappes, Crosses, &c. civilly vpon their backes, heads or foreheads; yet if some remayne ignorant of this libertie, and thinking them to be vncleane, as having bene things offered to the service of an Idoll, should by the example of others, with wavering consciences, enterprise to vie them, when inwardly they thinke the vie of them to displease God: if in this case (we saye) men shall sinne against Christ: how much more shall they sinne against Christ, when they shall vie them in the worship of God? against which vie, they have many teflimonies out of holy Writt, seated in their consciences by the finger of God, that their vie is altogether vnlawfull in the worship of God.

C

1-

to

en

fe

nat he

eth

of

ht.

up-

co-

kly

aw-, to

to a

rom

dby

, (fo

bre-

ants

rees

are

On the other side, if it be no sinne against Christ, for weake brethren not to vie either these or any other relickes, monumentes and memorialls of Idolatrie; in the service and worship of the true God: If also the weake in faith can not vie the waveringly, without sinne against Christ, If lastly they have libertie and freedome, by the Apostles doctrine, not to vie them at all; we defire your Lordinips to resolve vs out of holy Writt, how it may be lawfull, in foro conscientia, to bring weake brethren, before the seates of Magistrates, to excommunicate them, to revise them, & to speak all maner of evill against them, for vsing their Apostolicall free-

dome, for being charitable, and for not committing finne.

But

Certaine Demands With their grounds.

But, Sirs, by your patience, they be not handled after any such maner, simply, and only for not vling the Surplice, not making a croffe, not kneeling in the act of receyving the communion, but for contempt of not ving and making the same, and not kneeling at the comandement of the christian Magistrate, whom not to obey in things indifferent, is to sinne against Corist;

and for this sinne, they ought to be excommunicated, &c.

Though this be a Maxime in some learning, non folent que superabundant vitiare scripturas; yet by your Lordships favour, your &c. swelleth to high, and guilleth the pallages of a lofte spirit overmuch: your argument also of christian Magistracy, at the least three times already vrged, is in this place more then superabundant, as amounting above the third, vinto a fourth degree of comparison. But to the poyntes. And first, because (as earst hath bene said) it was the decree, and supplication of your Synod that begate this commandement, and not this commandement, that travayled, and brought your Synodall decree and supplication to the birth: touching which your Synodall decree, for the vie of thele ornaments, rites & ceremonies, by the weak, what els is there to be added, then that we deny againe, what in effect, more then once, & twice, we have in this behalfe denied before: namely granting thefe thinges in their owne nature to be indifferent, and that they may be yied in the fervice of God, by them that be strong in faith, & have knowledge of their indifferencie: neverthelesse we deny that by any Decree of the Church, they may be appointed to be vied, by the weake, and them that have no knowledge of their indifferencie: For this we have learned of the nature of things indifferent, if the coscience be strong, that the things be good; if the conscience be weake, that they bee evill: not in regarde of their owne nature, but in regarde of the conscience of him that judgeth them to be evill.

For as it is not meate it felfe, but the vie of meate, wherevnto the Apostle would have men sticke, so is it not apparell, a Crosse, or a Ceremonie, wherevoon the servantes of Christ are to cast their eyes, that they may not be reprehended, by their consciences rightly guyded, for the vie of that thing which they vie; And how should a conscience bee rightly guyded in the thing which he vieth, vnles he have grounde out of Gods worde for the thinge which he vieth? But he hath (you will fay) a commaundement of God, to obey the higher powers, in the vie of thinges indifferent. This is true in deed (laye we) if they (having for the guyde of their consciences, the holy worde of God) shall judge the things

Bb.

Gentl.

thinges, and the vie of the things, for the service wherevnto they bee commaunded, to be indifferent; but they being not fully perswaded in their myndes, both of the indifferencie of the thinges', and of the vie of the things, in that service wherevnto they bee commaunded, how can your Lordships proove, that in this case the Church may decree, and the Magistrate command them to do an act, in the doing whereof, they shall commit finne, yea and which they can by no meanes avoyde, if in doing the thing commanded, they stand in doubt. For he that doubteth is con- Ro. 14-23 demned, in that he doth, because he doeth it not of faith. And what soe-

ver is not of faith, is finne. Wherefore, because no canon, nor decree of the Church, can cleanse a mans conscience of the weaknes of faith, or poure strength into the same that he sinne not; we affirme, that every decree, and canon of your Synod, which giveth an occasion of offence, and emboldeneth the weak in faith to finne, by the vie of these rites and ceremonies, in the worship of God, is a Canon and is a Decree conteyninge matter in the substance of it, contrary and repugnant to the doctrine of the Apostle: And that therefore it is no sinne, for any brother weake in fayth, not to obey the same, though at the request of your Synod, it hath pleased our Christian Magistrate to injoyne him therevnto: because the ground-worke of your Decree being fandy, the buylding of the Magistrate, can not surely and firmely stande: This your Lordships argument therefore of the lawfull vie of indifferent things, by commandement of the christian Magistrate, for orders sake, is but a begging of the point in question: For wee hold, that no church, nor christian Magistrate, can decree or impose any rites and ceremonies (being relickes, monuments, or memorialls of idolatrie) to be vsed, no not for orders sake, in the worthip of the true God; because the vse of all relickes, monumentes, and memorialles of idolatrie, in divineworthip, is not a thing, not only not indifferet, but a thing in all respectes, meerely and absolutely vulawfull. And therefore it importeth your Lordships to proove vnto vs, by some holy Writt, the contrary of that which we affirme: namely, that the vie of all Relickes, Monumentes, and Memorialles of Idolatrie, (as thinges indifferent for orders sake) may be decreed by the Church, and injoyned by the Christian Magistrate, in the worship of God; what we have alleadged out of holy Writt, for the proofe of our generall negative, your Lordships have seene and read, And now we wayte and exspect that you would produce your stronge reasons, for the proofe of your generall aftirmative.

c

it 11

OHY

The Papistes you know, as they daily commit fornication with that great whore, so doe they greatly delite, and much solace them selves, to fee their minionly Priettes attired in the ynchaft colours of their wanton paramoure.

Nay, with great infolencie and oftentation they vaunt, that Croffes, In a libell Surplices, &c. are to be received and vled, not as things indifferent, but London a-

as necessarie parts of Gods divine worship.

sr

1-

er-

nd

HE 4-

: [-

by

not crs

nen

The

The Atheistes, as they neither embrace the doctrine, nor reverence the Sherites the person of the Minister of Christ, much lesse doe they regard from whence ministerial apparell originally came. Only if they live under an ordinary, who glorieth to be a ceremonie master, they can then find witt, and opportunitie, and agilitie, and nimblenes ynough, to make vie of these ceremonies, as by them to worke mischief against their Godly Minister, if at any time them selves be reproved for their drunkennes, whoredome, viurie, swearing, poperie and such like: But if any of them being of the age of 60. 70. or 80. yeares, shalbe willed by their honest. ordinarie, to returne home, and to learne of their Minister the Catechilme, before they profecute their presentment, for the not wearing of a Surplice in this case, rather then they wilbe religiously taught the principles of godlines, at their Ministers hand, they force not for xxv. yeares space together, to bee wholy silent: Liking in the meane while the not wearing of a Surplice well ynough. And as for our partes, and others of the Religion, we doubt very much whether your Lordships be able to prove, that the Surplice, the croffe in Baptisme, or kneeling in the act of receiving the Communion, were by their first originall of any integritie, for an orderly or comely vie of outward divine fervice or worthip, as now they be vied, yea or no; For though now they bee viged as decent and comely fignes and ornaments, to the forme of Gods worship; yet if at the beginning they were not for this end and purpose, drawne directly or by consequence, from some expresse commandement of God, but were meerely the deviles & inventions of men: then can we not assure our selves, that they be restored, to their ancient integritie. For how should their original bee of ancient integritie, when they had beginning, not from the will of God, but from the witt of maninot from the Well of life, but from the pitt of death? when they were not pure, but corrupt, & therefore without integritie? For which cause we are to beware least we be taken in a snare by them, provoking the Lord to anger through the workes of our owne hands. And

cast out in gainst oncof and certaine Ministers.

And howfoever these ceremonies be taken from men, better affected to the Gospell, then be the Papistes: neverthelesse seeing servants (be they never to young) are not to be guided by their fellow fervants (be they never foold) without knowledge of their Lord & Masters pleasure, first declared vnto them by his Prophets or Apostles, we pray your Lordthips to prove vnto vs, out of holy Writt, that thefe ceremonies hold

their originall from God.

Ierem. 16

Ifai. 8.16

Iere 1.8.36

Ifa. 16.20

For, if they be not according to the old way, which is the good way, and wherein we are bidd to stand, and to behold, and to aske after it, namely, the Testimonie which is bound up, and the Law which is sealed vp among Gods Disciples, then shall the Pastours offend for running about to much, to change their wayes, going after things that doe not profit; yea (if they doe not according to this word) they may justly be reproved, because their is no light in them.

The ancienty then of your ceremonies, let the same be as ancient as possibly ye can devise, yet in respect of the ancient of dayes, and of him that inhabiteth the eternitie, they shalbe but novelties, and the Author of them but a novelift. For as this faying in the Schoole of reason, is true, omne magnum tum est paruum, cum sit aliquid mains; so is this saying also in the same Schoole true, omne verus tum est novum, cum sit aliquid vetustius.

Yea and the holy Scriptures teach vs, to call those things new, whatloever man inventeth, be the errour never to old. They have (laith the holy Ghost) offered vnto Devills, not vnto God, but to gods whom they knew not: new gods, that came newly vp, whom their Fathers feared not; And in an other place, they are pronounced to be accurled when they turne after other gods, which they have not known, whereby also is reproved the vanitie and fondnes of those men, which leave that which is certaine, to follow that which is vncetteine.

It the Apoltle then, the first and most ancient father of all the children whom he begate with the word of the Golpell, and the planter of all the Churches among the Gentils, never knew those or any the like rites, ornaments, or ceremonies, much leffe never knew them to be for any decent and orderly forme of Gods worship, in any of the churches which he begate, and which he planted; it must needes follow (as wee conceave) that the children of the Church, (who devised and appointed these rites and ceremonics, for an orderly and decent forme of Gods worthip, in the ages succeeding the Apostles) left the ancient integritic

Deu. 32. 17

together

lo

together with the certaine and fingle forme of Gods worship, setled in the Apostolicall churches, without any ministerial apparrell, croffings or kneelings in the act of receiving the communion: And fo following their owne hearts, became Novelittes vnto the Apostles, in these vncerteine and new found ceremonies.

Neither for the poynt in question is it materiall, whether they were children, next and immediatly succeeding the Apostles, yea or no: For fo foone as they declined from that integritie, & limple maner of Gods Deut. 9.13. worship, and from that certeyntie, wherein the Apostle left the Churches, even to toone was their vncerteyne and newly start vp forme of Gods worship corrupt and impure: For when the Lord hath once spoken wordes, and doeth add no more, thereby we are taught, by his example, to lay our handes upon our mouthes, to bee content with his word, and to add nothing of our owne. Yea and we bee warned to beware, least our heartes deceyve vs, and least we turne aside by devisinge Deu. 1 1.16 foolish inventions, according to our owne fantalies.

Wherefore your Lordships argument, of such ancientie, and of such integritie of these ceremonies, as declineth from that ancient, and in al poyntes and qualities certeyne and vpright forme of Gods worshippe practifed without Copes, Surplices, Croffes, and kneelings in the acte of receiving the communion, by the Apostle in all the Churches planted by his Ministerie, argueth rather corruption and noveltie, then eyther any ancientie or integritic.

it

t-

en

10

at

il-

of ke

for

nes

vec

ted

ods

ILIC her

And therefore we pray your Lord hips, that hereafter you would be advised by vs, not to license your Scribes and prolocutors, to cast an imputation of Noveltie vpon the Ministers of Christ: or to vpbrayde them with that odious and reprochfull name of Novelistes: least for their safegard, and just defence in this behalfe, they be constreyned to pray for remedy, protection, & ayde from our Soveteigne Lord King lames: That by a writ of errour, out of the Recordes of the HighCourt of Parliament, to be graunted into them, they may procure the reverling, and adnihilating of your worthie orators, their vniult and Novelous acculatio: For vnlelle it should seeme a small matter, in your Lordthips fight, to vpbrayd our Sovereigne Lord the King, his Nobles and Commons of both Realmes, with a blemith of being Novelistes, and to be complotters of Novelties, for that his Highnes, and they, by al good counselles, endevour, that the English, and Scottish nations, should bee vnited into one people, and be restored to their first and ancient name

of Brittans: And that the two Realmes, England and Scotland, as in most Ancient time should be called by the name of Great Brittany, vnles (we say) it should seeme a small matter, in your eyes, to traduce the King, his Nobles and Commons, in this case, of being Novelistes, were pray your Lordships to beare with vs; if we tell you playne, that for our partes we can not throughly discerne, with what honestie your Scribes be indowed, when as in their pamphlettes, they blaze the Ministers of Christ to be Novelistes. Which Ministers notwithstanding hat thing more then Noveltie, and crave nothing so much as that the most certeyne and most single forme of Gods worship, left to the Churches, by the Apostle, without your many, and vncerteyne rites and ceremonies, might be restored, to her primative, and Apostolicall ancientie, and integritic.

God save King IAMES.

Faults eschaped in the Print.

Pag. 6, lin, 6, is for it.

Pag 6. li. 10. follwe, for followe.

Pag 17. li. à fine 8. oovet, for covet.

Pag. 18, li. à fine 7. prohibition, for prohibition

Pag. 24. li 4. outmard, for outward.

Pag. 26. ad marg. li. 2. Numb. 21.8.9.

Pag. 27. marg. Kin. 10, 10, for 16 10.

Pag. 42, li. 12, command, for command.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COPY IN THE

HENRY E. HUNTINGTON LIBRARY

FOR REFERENCE ONLY. NOT FOR REPRODUCTION